

# Carbon Dioxide Emissions Intensity for New Australian Light Vehicles 2018 

## Executive summary

## This information paper provides detailed data on the carbon dioxide emissions intensity performance of new passenger and light commercial vehicles sold in Australia during 2018.

This report focuses on vehicle emissions performance, measured in terms of grams of carbon dioxide per kilometre ( $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{km}$ ). This is a measure of vehicle efficiency or intensity rather than a measure of actual vehicle emissions, which depends on many factors such as distance travelled, the nature of the driving and road and traffic conditions.

Fleet-wide vehicle emissions depend on many factors including consumer preference (for example, vehicle type, engine size and power, fuel type and transmission type). Consumer preferences can also be influenced by government policies and regulations, industry influence and fuel prices.

## Key findings

- In 2018 the national average carbon dioxide emissions intensity from new passenger and light commercial vehicles was $180.9 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{km}$. This is a 0.4 per cent improvement from 2017. This is the second lowest annual improvement since records started in 2002.
- Consumer preferences are an important factor affecting the national average of carbon dioxide emissions intensity for new vehicles. If all Australians who purchased new vehicles in 2018 had purchased vehicles with best-in-class emissions, the national average carbon dioxide emissions intensity would have been reduced to $73 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{km}$, a 60 per cent reduction.
- About 91 per cent of all new vehicle sales in 2018 were from 15 makes. Of these 15 makes, Audi had the lowest corporate average emissions intensity ( $148 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{km}$ ), and Ford had the highest ( $216 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{km}$ ).
- Private buyers purchased vehicles with the lowest average emissions intensity ( $174 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{km}$ ) in 2018, followed by business buyers ( $186 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{km}$ ) and government buyers (195 g/km).
- There were 93 'green' car model variants available in Australia in 2018 (compared with 97 in 2017), which represented 4.1 per cent of total sales (compared with 3.8 per cent in 2017). A 'green' car is defined as a vehicle with emissions intensity that does not exceed $120 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{km}$.
- The number of electric vehicles sold by FCAI members and Tesla in 2018 was 2,357 compared with 2,424 vehicles sold in 2017. This is a 3 per cent decrease.
- The average emissions intensity for new passenger vehicles in European countries was $118.5 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{km}$ in 2017. In the same year, Australia's average emissions intensity for passenger vehicles was $171.5 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{km}$, 45 per cent higher.
- There are many reasons why Australian light vehicle emissions intensity are higher than in Europe. Some of the reasons include:
- Australian consumer preferences for heavier vehicles with larger and more powerful engines
- Australia has a lower proportion of diesel-powered engines
- Australia has fewer government incentives for lower emissions vehicles
- relatively lower fuel prices in Australia compared with Europe.
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## Abbreviations

## FCAI

Federal Chamber of Automotive Industries
g/km grams per kilometre
GVM gross vehicle mass
LPG liquefied petroleum gas
NTC National Transport Commission
SUV sports utility vehicle

## 1. Introduction


#### Abstract

Each year since 2009, the National Transport Commission (NTC) has published an information paper about carbon dioxide emissions intensity for new Australian light vehicles. This information paper is the latest in this series and provides data for 2018.


The paper focuses on vehicle emissions intensity and is a measure of vehicle efficiency. It is not a measurement of actual vehicle emissions, which depends on many real-world factors such as distance travelled, the nature of the driving, and road and traffic conditions.

The Federal Chamber of Automotive Industries (FCAI) is the peak industry organisation representing the manufacturers and importers of passenger vehicles, light commercial vehicles and motorcycles in Australia. FCAI collates carbon dioxide emissions intensity data from vehicle manufacturers. We use the FCAI data to prepare this information paper and we would like to thank the FCAI for making this data available for use in this report.

This information paper is divided into three main sections:

- Section 2 describes the methodology used
- Section 3 presents the results of the analysis
- Section 4 compares Australian data with European data.



## 2. Methodology

## This section describes the methodology used to calculate the carbon dioxide emissions intensity data for Australia.

The FCAI and its members collate data on the sales of new vehicles. They provided this data to the NTC. We entered the FCAI data into a database and analysed it. These records consisted of:

- vehicle attributes: including make, model, vehicle generation, body style, engine capacity, number of cylinders, engine power, transmission type, gears, number of seats, gross vehicle mass (GVM), driven wheels, country of origin, fuel type, carbon dioxide emissions intensity and fuel economy
- vehicle category: consistent with the classifications and definitions as described in Table 1
- sales data: sales by state and region and by type of buyer (that is, government, business or private).

Carbon dioxide emissions intensity for vehicles is calculated using the method described in Vehicle Standard (Australian Design Rule 81/02 - fuel consumption labeling for light vehicles) and expressed in grams of carbon dioxide per kilometre ( $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{km}$ ).

The NTC calculated the sales weighted average for vehicle emissions for different vehicle attributes, categories and buyer types. A weighted average calculation is similar to an arithmetic average (the most common type of average), but instead of each data point contributing equally to the final average, some data points contribute more than others. In this case, the average was weighted to vehicle sales.

Battery electric vehicles with no secondary engine and emissions of $0 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{km}$ have been excluded when calculating sales weighted averages in most tables and figures in this report. Although vehicles operating on their electric engine may have no tailpipe emissions, the electricity may produce carbon dioxide emissions depending on its source.

Tesla sales data and other vehicle information is not included in the FCAI database, and as a result most tables and figures in this report do not include Tesla. However, certain tables and figures in the electric vehicles section do include estimated Tesla sales.

The light vehicles are classified into three main classes by the FCAI: passenger motor vehicles, sports utility vehicles (SUVs) and light trucks. These classes are then broken down into segments. For example, the segments of SUVs are small, medium, large and upper large. Table 1 presents the classifications and definitions.

This information paper uses the following definitions:

- passenger vehicles: passenger motor vehicles and SUVs
- light commercial vehicles: light trucks.

Table 1: FCAI motor vehicle classifications and definitions

| Passenger motor vehicles | Passenger vehicles are classified dependent on size, specification and average retail pricing. <br> Selected vehicle types will be assessed on footprint* defined as length ( m ) $\times$ width ( m ), rounded, as follows: |
| :---: | :---: |
| Micro | Hatch, sedan or wagon with a footprint < $6.3 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |
| Light | Hatch, sedan or wagon with a footprint range 6.301-7.5 m² |
| Small | Hatch, sedan or wagon with a footprint range 7.501-8.3 m² |
| Medium | Hatch, sedan or wagon with a footprint range 8.301-9.0 m² |
| Large | Hatch, sedan or wagon with a footprint range 9.001-9.5 m² |
| Upper Large | Hatch, sedan or wagon with a footprint range $>9.501 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |
| People Movers | Wagon for passenger usage, seating capacity > 5 people |
| Sports | Car, coupe, convertible or roadster |
| Sports utility vehicles | Vehicles classified as SUVs meet the FCAI criteria for classifying SUVs based on a $2 / 4$ door wagon body style and elevated ride height. <br> Vehicles typically will feature some form of 4WD or all-wheel drive; however, where a 2WD variant of a model is available it will be included in the appropriate segment to that model. <br> Selected vehicle types will be assessed on footprint* defined as length ( $m$ ) x width ( $m$ ), rounded, as follows: |
| Small | < 8.1 m ${ }^{2}$ |
| Medium | $8.101-8.8$ m² |
| Large | $8.801-9.8 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |
| Upper Large | > $9.801 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |
| Light trucks | Vehicles designed principally for commercial use but may include designs intended for non-commercial applications. |
| Light Bus < 20 seats | $8+$ seats, but less than 20 seats |
| Light Bus $\geq \mathbf{2 0}$ seats | $20+$ seats |
| Van/Cab Chassis $\leq 2.5$ t | Blind/window vans and cab chassis 2.5-3.5 t GVM |
| Van/Cab Chassis $>2.5-3.5 t$ | Blind/window vans and cab chassis 2.5-3.5 t GVM |
| Pick-up/Chassis 4×2 | Two driven wheels, normal control (bonnet), utility, cab chassis, one and a half cab and crew cab |
| Pick-up/Chassis $\mathbf{4 \times 4}$ | Four driven wheels, normal control (bonnet), utility, cab chassis, one and a half cab and crew cab |

[^0]Carbon dioxide emissions intensity per kilometre is directly related to vehicle fuel consumption values. Table 2 provides fuel consumption figures and the corresponding carbon dioxide emissions intensity for petrol and diesel.

Another way to relate carbon dioxide emissions intensity to fuel is per litre of fuel consumed. For example, 1 litre of petrol will produce about 2.3 kg of carbon dioxide and 1 litre of diesel will produce about 2.7 kg of carbon dioxide.

Table 2: Fuel consumption and corresponding average emissions intensity

| Fuel consumption <br> (litres per 100 <br> kilometres) | Average emissions intensity ( $\mathbf{g} / \mathbf{k m}$ ) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Petrol | Diesel |
| 3 | 68 | 80 |
| 4 | 91 | 107 |
| 5 | 114 | 134 |
| 6 | 137 | 160 |
| 7 | 160 | 187 |
| 8 | 182 | 214 |
| 9 | 205 | 240 |
| 10 | 228 | 267 |
| 11 | 251 | 294 |
| 12 | 274 | 321 |
| 13 | 297 | 347 |
| 14 | 319 | 374 |
| 15 | 342 | 401 |
| 16 | 365 | 427 |
| 17 | 388 | 454 |
| 18 | 411 | 481 |
| 19 | 433 | 508 |
| 20 | 456 | 534 |

To help get a frame of reference for carbon dioxide emissions intensity from vehicles, Figure 1 shows carbon dioxide emissions from the top 10 selling vehicle models in Australia during 2018. Figure 1 also contains three low emitting vehicle models ${ }^{1}$ (excluding zero emission vehicles) and the highest emitting model. Also shown is the average emissions intensity for all Australian vehicles sold in 2018.

Figure 1: Average emissions intensity for top 10 selling vehicles in Australia plus other selected models, 2018



1 In this case, the models selected are the lowest emitting model overall; the lowest emitting model from the medium, large, or upper large segments; and the lowest emitting SUV.

## 3. Australian emissions intensity

## This section contains the Australian data about the carbon dioxide emissions intensity for new passenger vehicles and light commercial vehicles in 2018.

Across all new passenger and light commercial vehicles sold in 2018, the national average carbon dioxide emissions intensity was $180.9 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{km}$ (Figure 2). This is a 0.4 per cent improvement from the previous year. This is the second lowest annual improvement since records started in 2002 (only the 2017 improvement of 0.3 per cent was smaller).

Since 2002 there has been an overall reduction of 28 per cent in carbon dioxide emissions intensity. Additional data on the annual average emissions intensity is provided in Table 9 in the appendix.

Figure 2: National average emissions intensity for new passenger and light commercial vehicles, 2002-2018


### 3.1 Vehicle manufacturers

In 2018 there were 53 makes of new vehicles sold to Australian consumers. Ninety one per cent of all new vehicle sales were from 15 makes. The average corporate carbon dioxide emissions intensity of these market-leading makes largely determines the national average emissions intensity.

Figure 3 shows the corporate average carbon dioxide emissions intensity for the top 15 makes in 2018 (data for all vehicle makes is provided in Table 10 in the appendix). Audi had the lowest corporate average carbon dioxide emissions intensity ( $148 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{km}$ ), and Ford had the highest ( $216 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{km}$ ).

Figure 3: Corporate average emissions intensity for the top 15 makes by volume, 2018


Figure 4 shows the change in corporate average carbon dioxide emissions intensity between 2017 and 2018 for the highest selling 15 makes. Holden had a 7.6 per cent reduction in average corporate emissions intensity. Volkswagen's average carbon dioxide emissions intensity increased by 4.2 per cent.

Figure 4: Change in corporate average emissions intensity between 2017 and 2018 for the top 15 makes by volume


### 3.2 Segment type

A segment analysis was conducted using the categories shown in Table 1.
Figure 5 shows the average carbon dioxide emissions intensity by segment during 2018. The lowest emitting segment was 'micro' (129 g/km); 'SUV upper large' (259 g/km) was the highest. Additional segment data, including the top 10 selling models for each segment, is provided in Tables 11 and 12 in the appendix.

SUVs as a segment grouping had a reduction of 0.4 per cent in average emissions intensity during 2018 ( $181 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{km}$ ) when compared with 2017 ( $182 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{km}$ ).

Figure 5: Average emissions intensity by segment, 2018



Figure 6 shows the change in average carbon dioxide emissions intensity by segment between 2017 and 2018. In 2018 the 'upper large' segment had the largest reduction of 18 per cent, while the 'sports' segment had the largest increase of average emissions intensity at 2.9 per cent.

Figure 6: Change in average emissions intensity by segment between 2017 and 2018


Figure 7 shows the average and the range in carbon dioxide emissions intensity for the segments during 2018. The average emissions are represented by the black dots, and the ranges are represented by the bars.

The 'small' segment had the lowest minimum emissions intensity with the BMW i3 REx emitting $12 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{km}$.

Figure 7: Range and average emissions intensity by segment, 2018


If Australian consumers had purchased vehicles with best-in-class carbon dioxide emissions in 2018 , the national average carbon dioxide emissions would have been reduced to $73 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{km}$, a 60 per cent reduction. This shows the potential emissions reduction with currently available vehicles and technologies. It is important to note that fully electric vehicles with zero tailpipe emissions were excluded from this analysis to prevent the results being distorted.

Table 3 shows the best-in-class vehicles for carbon dioxide emissions intensity available for each segment. Where the best-in-class vehicle model's primary engine is listed as electric for a segment, we have also shown the best-in-class with the primary engine listed as petrol or diesel.

Table 3: Best-in-class vehicles for carbon dioxide emissions intensity for each segment, 2018

| Segment | Make and model (fuel source/s)* | Best-in-class vehicle emissions intensity ( $\mathbf{g} / \mathbf{k m}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Micro | Fiat PANDA (petrol) | 95 |
| Light | Toyota PRIUS C (petrol-electric) | 90 |
| Small | BMW I3 REX (electric-petrol) | 12 |
|  | Hyundai IONIQ (petrol-electric) | 79 |
| Medium | BMW 330E (electric-petrol) | 49 |
|  | Toyota CAMRY HYBRID (petrol-electric) | 96 |
| Large | BMW 530E (electric-petrol) | 46 |
|  | Mercedes-Benz Cars E220D (diesel) | 108 |
| Upper Large | BMW 740E (electric-petrol) | 50 |
|  | BMW 730D (diesel) | 129 |
| Sports | BMW I8 ROADSTER (electric-petrol) | 48 |
|  | BMW 420D COUPE (diesel) | 114 |
| People Movers | Citroen C4 GRD PICASSO (diesel) | 120 |
| SUV Small | Lexus UX250H (petrol-electric) | 103 |
| SUV Medium | Mitsubishi OUTLANDER (electric-petrol) | 41 |
|  | Peugeot 5008 (diesel) | 124 |
| SUV Large | Audi Q7 (electric-diesel) | 49 |
|  | Lexus RX450H (petrol-electric) | 131 |
| SUV Upper Large | Land Rover RANGE ROVER (electric-petrol) | 64 |
|  | Land Rover RANGE ROVER (diesel) | 182 |
| Pick-up/Chassis 4×2 | Nissan NAVARA (diesel) | 166 |
| Pick-up/Chassis $\mathbf{4 \times 4}$ | Nissan NAVARA (diesel) | 147 |
| Vans/Cab Chassis | Citroen BERLINGO (diesel) | 108 |
| Light Buses | Toyota HIACE (diesel) | 228 |

* If two fuel sources are shown, the first is the primary engine.

Additional data comparing the top 10 highest selling models ${ }^{2}$ in each segment against best-in-class vehicles is provided in Table 12 in the appendix. Additional average emissions intensity data for all models that sold more than 1,000 vehicles is provided in Table 13 in the appendix.

2 Top 10 models, or as many vehicle models as were sold in that segment.

### 3.3 Buyer type

Figure 8 shows the average carbon dioxide emissions intensity by buyer type. Vehicles bought by private buyers had the lowest average carbon dioxide emissions intensity ( $174 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{km}$ ), followed by business buyers ( $186 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{km}$ ) and government buyers ( $195 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{km}$ ). Additional data on buyer types is provided in Table 14 in the appendix.

Figure 8: Average emissions intensity by buyer type, 2018


Figure 9 shows the change in average emissions intensity between 2017 and 2018. Government buyers purchased vehicles representing a 2.1 per cent reduction in average emissions, while average emissions for vehicles purchased by business buyers increased by 0.1 per cent.

Figure 9: Change in average emissions intensity by buyer type between 2017 and 2018


The three buyer types can be broken down further:

- private: local delivery and overseas delivery
- government: Australian, state and local
- business: company capitalisation, dealer demonstrator, diplomatic, fleet, large fleet, not-for-profit organisation, overseas delivery, rental and taxi.

Figure 10 shows the average carbon dioxide emissions intensity for these buyers. The change in average emissions intensity from 2017 to 2018 is shown in Figure 11. Additional data on the detailed buyer types is provided in Table 15 in the appendix.

Figure 10: Average emissions intensity by detailed buyer type, 2018


Figure 11: Change in average emissions intensity between 2017 and 2018 by detailed buyer type


### 3.4 Fuel type

This section contains average carbon dioxide emissions intensity by fuel type.
Figure 12 shows the average carbon dioxide emissions intensity by fuel type for 2018 for petrol and diesel vehicles ${ }^{3}$. The format of this graph has changed relative to previous years because there were no liquefied petroleum gas (LPG) vehicles sold in 2018, and information about electric vehicles is reported separately in Table 4. Petrol vehicles had an average emissions intensity of $167 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{km}$, while diesel vehicles' average emissions intensity was $208 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{km}$.

Figure 13 shows that petrol vehicles had a reduction in emissions intensity of 1.5 per cent between 2017 and 2018, while the emissions intensity of diesel vehicles increased by 1.1 per cent. Additional data on fuel types is provided in Table 16 in the appendix.

Figure 12: Average emissions intensity by fuel type, 2018


Figure 13: Change in average emissions intensity between 2017 and 2018 by fuel type


[^1]
### 3.5 Electric vehicles

Using the FCAI data, data on electric vehicle sales and emissions can be broken down into the categories shown in Table 4. Plug-in hybrid electric vehicles are vehicles whose primary fuel type is electric, but which have a secondary engine/fuel type (that is, petrol or diesel) and have a non-zero emissions figure in the FCAI data. Battery electric vehicles have no secondary engine/fuel type, and therefore no (tailpipe) emissions listed in the FCAI data.

Table 4: Emissions intensity and annual sales by electric vehicle type, 2017 and 2018

| Electric vehicle type | Average emissions intensity ( $\mathbf{g} / \mathrm{km}$ ) |  | Change from 2017 to 2018 (\%) | Sales |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2017 | 2018 |  | 2017 | 2018 |
| Plug-in Hybrid Electric Vehicles | 49 | 49 | -0.6 | 1,076 | 1,163 |
| Battery Electric Vehicles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 189 |
| Total | 47 | 42 | -10.6 | 1,124 | 1,352 |

The FCAI data does not contain data about Tesla vehicles. Table 5 includes the FCAI data on electric vehicles sales and the NTC's estimates of the number of Tesla vehicles sold to determine total electric vehicle sales in Australia. We have used state- and territory-based registration systems for the number of Tesla vehicles for 2017 and 2018. The total number of electric vehicles sold in 2018 was 2,357 compared to 2,424 vehicles sold in 2017. This is a 3 per cent decrease.

Table 5. Electric vehicle sales, 2017 and 2018

| Make | Sales |  | Change from 2017 to 2018 (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2017 | 2018 |  |
| Tesla | 1,300 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1,005 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | -23 |
| All other makes ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 1,124 | 1,352 | 20 |
| Total | 2,424 | 2,357 | -3 |

a. New registrations from state- and territory-based registration systems for May 2017 to May 2018
b. New registrations from state- and territory-based registration systems for December 2017 (estimated using the May 2017 and May 2018 data points) to December 2018
c. FCAI data

The total number of registered Tesla electric vehicles in the Australian fleet in December 2018 was 3,208.

There were 27 models of electric vehicles available in 2018 compared to 22 models in 2017. Figure 14 shows the sales of the more popular electric vehicle models in 2017 and 2018, as well as showing the emissions intensity for each of the models shown (plotted on the secondary axis). Additional data on sales by model, state and buyer type for 2017 and 2018 for the FCAI data are provided in Tables 17, 18 and 19 in the appendix.

Figure 14: Sales of selected electric vehicles, 2017 and 2018


Table 6 summarises various types of electric vehicle data by state and territory. The first row of data summarises electric vehicle sales in 2018 from the FCAI data. The second row of data shows all electric vehicle sales between 2010 and 2018 in each state and territory, and again relies on the FCAI data. The final row of data shows the number of Tesla vehicles registered in each state and territory as at 20 December 2018. Although the second and third rows of data to some extent show the total (cumulative) vehicle fleet for non-Tesla and Tesla electric vehicles, respectively, they are not directly comparable ${ }^{4}$.

The NTC estimates there were around 9,000 electric vehicles in the Australian vehicle fleet at the end of 2018. The total number of passenger vehicles and light commercial vehicles in Australia was 17.5 million (ABS 2018).

Table 6: Electric vehicle sales and Tesla registrations by state and territory

|  | ACT | NSW | NT | QLD | SA | TAS | VIC | WA | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Electric vehicle sales in 2018 (excluding Tesla) | 37 | 461 | 1 | 210 | 147 | 14 | 401 | 81 | 1,352 |
| Total electric vehicle sales from 2010 to 2018 (excluding Tesla) | 187 | 1,440 | 15 | 799 | 1,193 | 73 | 1,510 | 427 | 5,644 |
| Tesla registrations as at 20 December 2018* | 66 | 1,272 | 3 | 541 | 87 | 21 | 1,059 | 159 | 3,208 |

* Registrations from state- and territory-based registration systems as at 20 December 2018

4 For example, it is possible that an electric vehicle could be sold in one state/territory and subsequently transferred to a different one. Additionally, a vehicle may be sold but subsequently written off as a result of a crash.

### 3.6 Green vehicles

As in previous reports, a 'green' vehicle has been defined as a vehicle whose carbon dioxide emissions intensity does not exceed $120 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{km}$. In Australia, the proportion of green cars sold in 2018 was 4.1 per cent of total sales (compared with 3.8 per cent in 2017). Figure 15 shows 'green' vehicle sales as a proportion of total new light vehicle sales between 2008 and 2018 . $^{5}$

There were 93 green car model variants ${ }^{6}$ available in Australia in 2018 (compared with 97 in 2017). This includes electric vehicles with zero emissions. Figure 16 shows the number of green vehicle model variants sold in Australia for each year from 2008 and 2018.

Table 20 in the appendix provides more detail on green vehicles sold in Australia in 2018.

Figure 15: ‘Green' vehicles sales as a percentage of total new light vehicles sold, 2008-2018


Figure 16: ‘Green' vehicle model variants sold, 2008-2018


5 We have identified slight inconsistencies in the way that green vehicles sales were calculated in previous years. The time series shown in Figures 15 and 16 are now determined on a consistent basis throughout the period shown; however, the numbers shown for historical years may be slightly different than what has been published in previous reports.

6 In the context of this table, a 'variant' generally means that each vehicle model name appears once only, even if under that one vehicle model 'variant' there are differences in attributes such as fuel types or emissions. However, there are certain exceptions such as the Audi A3 and MINI Cooper-which both appear as two 'variants' in 2018-as a result of having a listing in two market 'segments' (small or light, and sports).

## 4. Comparison of Australian and European data

## This section compares Australian and European data.

In the past different methods were used worldwide to calculate vehicle emissions. The three main methods were from Europe, Japan and the United States. Each method can give a different emissions result when applied to the same vehicle.

An international test method, called the Worldwide Harmonised Light Vehicle Test Procedure (WLTP), has been developed to replace these three different regional test methods and to better reflect on-road emissions performance. The WLTP will progressively be used around the world from 2019. Australia has not yet made a decision about the timing for adopting the WLTP.

Australia currently uses the European method. This makes the Australian data directly comparable with European data. However, the published data from Europe separates passenger vehicles from light commercial vehicles. The Australian information presented in section 3 is combined data covering passenger and light commercial vehicles.

To enable comparisons between Australian and European data, we separated the Australian data into passenger vehicle and light commercial vehicle groups as defined in section 1. The Australian groupings are consistent with the European Commission Regulation (No 443/2009, Annex II).

We sourced the European data from the European Environment Agency (2019). As the data illustrates, emissions from new vehicles in the European countries analysed are lower than Australia. There are a number of reasons for this, including fewer measures in Australia to reduce carbon dioxide emissions and emissions intensity. The European measures are shown in Table 7. A summary of the European measures was published by the European Conference of Ministers of Transport (2007).

There are also other consumer preferences that contribute to the difference emissions performance between Australia and Europe. For example, European consumers purchase more small vehicles compared with Australian consumers. In addition, European consumers prefer manual transmission vehicles, whereas Australian consumers prefer automatic transmissions.

Table 7: European measures that have reduced carbon dioxide emissions from motor vehicles

| European measure | Intent of measure |
| :---: | :---: |
| High fuel prices through higher fuel taxes | Encourages consumers to purchase fuel-efficient vehicles to lower running costs. |
| Low diesel taxes compared with petrol taxes | Encourages consumers to purchase diesel vehicles to reduce running costs. |
| Regulating carbon dioxide emissions from motor vehicles (passenger vehicle standards were phased in from 2012, with full implementation from 2015) | Provides manufacturers with targets for emissions reductions. |
| Vehicle excise duties | Encourages consumers to purchase low carbon dioxide-emitting vehicles. |
| Direct cash incentives for consumers to purchase low carbon dioxide vehicles | Encourages consumers to purchase low carbon dioxide vehicles as it lowers the purchase price of the vehicle. |
| Consumer information on vehicles | Provides information to consumers about relative carbon dioxide efficiency and the annual running costs of new vehicles. |
| Consumer information in printed advertisements | Provides information to consumers about relative carbon dioxide efficiency and the annual running costs of new vehicles. |

Table 8 gives separated emissions data for passenger and light commercial vehicles. The average carbon dioxide emissions intensity for passenger vehicles and light commercial vehicles sold in Australia during 2018 was $169.8 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{km}$ and $221.5 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{km}$ respectively.

Table 8: Average emissions intensity for new passenger and light commercial vehicles, 2017 and 2018 for Australia

| Groupings | Average emissions intensity ( $\mathbf{g} / \mathrm{km}$ ) |  | Change from 2017 to 2018 (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2017 | 2018 |  |
| Passenger vehicles | 171.5 | 169.8 | -1.0 |
| Light commercial vehicles | 221.2 | 221.5 | 0.1 |

The rest of this section compares Australian and European carbon dioxide emissions intensity data for passenger and light commercial vehicles separately.

In past reports we have included comparisons for manufacturers between Australia and Europe. We have not included these comparisons in the past two years' reports as we have found it difficult to undertake these comparisons because the European data is now much more disaggregated. However, we have included the Australian data in Tables 21 and 22 in the appendix for those that wish to undertake these manufacturer comparisons.

### 4.1 Passenger vehicles: average emissions intensity for the European Union and Australia for 2017

The average carbon dioxide emissions intensity of a new car sold in the European Union rose in 2017. The average carbon dioxide emissions intensity was $118.5 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{km}$ in 2017, 0.4 per cent higher than in 2016. This was the first time the emissions intensity has risen since monitoring started in 2010 under the current European Union laws. According to the European Federation for Transport and Environment (2018), the main reasons for this rise are increasing sales of SUVs and more powerful vehicles.

In 2017, Australia's average emissions intensity for passenger vehicles was $171.5 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{km}$, 45 per cent higher than the European Union.

### 4.2 Passenger vehicles: average emissions intensity by country for 2017

The breakdown for average carbon dioxide emissions intensity for new passenger vehicles by country for 2017 is shown in Figure 17. In 2017, European emissions intensity ranged from $105 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{km}$ in Portugal to $133 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{km}$ in Estonia (meaning Australia's average emissions intensity is 64 per cent and 29 per cent higher, respectively).

European average emissions intensity increased by 0.4 per cent for 2017 compared with 2016. In the same time, Australia's average emissions intensity fell by 0.7 per cent (see Table 23 in the appendix). The European countries that showed the highest annual reductions were Finland ( 1.5 per cent), Romania ( 1.1 per cent) and Malta ( 1.1 per cent), while the Czech Republic and Greece saw the highest increases (both increasing by 2.4 per cent). Additional European data is provided in Table 23 in the appendix.

Figure 17: Average emissions intensity for new passenger vehicles by country, 2017


### 4.3 Light commercial vehicles: average emissions intensity by country for 2017

Figure 18 shows the average carbon dioxide emissions intensity for light commercial vehicles in Europe was $156 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{km}$ in 2017. The average Australian emissions intensity was $221 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{km}$ which is 42 per cent higher than Europe. European average emissions intensity decreased by 4.6 per cent for 2017 compared with 2016. In the same time, Australia's average emissions intensity fell by 0.4 per cent (see Table 24 in the appendix).

Figure 18: Average emissions intensity for light commercial vehicles by country, 2017
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## Appendix

This appendix provides tables containing the data used in this report.

Table 9: National average emissions intensity for new passenger and light commercial vehicles, 2002-2018

| Year | Average emissions intensity ( $\mathbf{g} / \mathbf{k m}$ ) | Annual change (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2002 | 252.4 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}^{*}$ |
| 2003 | 249.5 | -1.1 |
| 2004 | 246.5 | -1.2 |
| 2005 | 240.5 | -2.4 |
| 2006 | 230.3 | -4.2 |
| 2007 | 226.4 | -1.7 |
| 2008 | 222.4 | -1.8 |
| 2009 | 218.6 | -1.7 |
| 2010 | 212.6 | -2.7 |
| 2011 | 206.6 | -2.8 |
| 2012 | 199.0 | -3.7 |
| 2013 | 192.2 | -3.4 |
| 2014 | 187.8 | -2.3 |
| 2015 | 184.2 | -1.9 |
| 2016 | 182.1 | -1.1 |
| 2017 | 181.7 | -0.3 |
| 2018 | 180.9 | -0.4 |

* n/a - not applicable

Table 10: Corporate average emissions intensity and annual sales by make, 2017 and 2018

| Make | Average emissions intensity ( $\mathbf{g} / \mathrm{km}$ ) |  | Change from 2017 to 2018 (\%), | Sales |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2017 | 2018 |  | 2017 | 2018 |
| Toyota | 196 | 197 | 0.1 | 216,256 | 216,779 |
| Mazda | 163 | 164 | 0.4 | 116,349 | 111,280 |
| Hyundai | 176 | 175 | -0.5 | 97,013 | 94,153 |
| Mitsubishi | 184 | 184 | -0.3* | 80,654 | 84,944 |
| Ford | 215 | 216 | 0.3 | 77,212 | 68,263 |
| Holden | 219 | 202 | -7.6 | 90,306 | 60,751 |
| Kia | 177 | 178 | 0.6 | 54,737 | 58,815 |
| Nissan | 184 | 184 | 0.3* | 56,594 | 57,699 |
| Volkswagen | 157 | 163 | 4.2 | 57,536 | 56,115 |
| Honda | 155 | 155 | 0.3* | 46,783 | 51,525 |
| Subaru | 173 | 175 | 1.5 | 52,511 | 50,015 |
| Mercedes-Benz Cars | 159 | 161 | 1.2 | 36,933 | 32,026 |
| Isuzu Ute | 207 | 206 | -0.3 | 25,804 | 27,640 |
| BMW | 148 | 151 | 1.8 | 23,576 | 23,003 |
| Audi | 145 | 148 | 1.7 | 22,011 | 19,416 |
| Suzuki | 133 | 129 | -2.7 | 19,256 | 17,601 |
| Land Rover | 167 | 169 | 1.1 | 13,112 | 10,089 |
| Lexus | 177 | 178 | 0.4 | 8,800 | 8,819 |
| Renault | 159 | 160 | 0.6 | 8,902 | 8,225 |
| Jeep | 221 | 227 | 2.6 | 8,270 | 7,326 |
| Volvo Car | 155 | 157 | 0.8 | 4,681 | 6,693 |
| LDV | 248 | 247 | -0.2 | 2,580 | 6,064 |
| Skoda | 137 | 139 | 2.0 | 5,350 | 5,807 |
| Porsche | 187 | 197 | 5.3 | 4,484 | 3,909 |
| Mercedes-Benz Vans | 166 | 183 | 10.6 | 2,213 | 3,894 |
| MINI | 130 | 133 | 2.5 | 3,712 | 3,590 |
| MG | 175 | 166 | -5.7 | 600 | 3,007 |
| Peugeot | 132 | 138 | 4.0 | 3,392 | 2,838 |
| Jaguar | 161 | 157 | -2.8 | 2,483 | 2,640 |
| Alfa Romeo | 153 | 149 | -2.3 | 1,057 | 1,279 |
| Fiat | 135 | 130 | -3.9 | 2,008 | 1,158 |
| Great Wall | 228 | 222 | -2.5 | 404 | 784 |
| Infiniti | 208 | 209 | 0.3 | 776 | 649 |
| Maserati | 211 | 226 | 7.1 | 740 | 642 |
| Haval | 226 | 227 | 0.5 | 710 | 633 |

Table 10 (continued)

| Make | Average emissions intensity ( $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{km}$ ) |  | Change from 2017 to 2018 (\%) | Sales |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2017 | 2018 |  | 2017 | 2018 |
| Citroen | 126 | 123 | -2.8 | 735 | 494 |
| RAM | - | 253 | n/a | - | 491 |
| Chrysler | 281 | 291 | 3.6 | 258 | 250 |
| Ferrari | 272 | 284 | 4.4 | 210 | 241 |
| Bentley | 272 | 283 | 4.3 | 219 | 208 |
| Aston Martin | 287 | 263 | -8.2 | 144 | 167 |
| Lamborghini | 310 | 316 | 1.9 | 122 | 134 |
| McLaren | 257 | 250 | -2.9 | 116 | 88 |
| Fiat Professional | 145 | 137 | -6.1 | 107 | 79 |
| Lotus | 198 | 201 | 1.2 | 62 | 56 |
| Rolls-Royce | 329 | 333 | 1.0 | 45 | 40 |
| Alpine | - | 137 | n/a | - | 32 |
| Genesis | 249 | 232 | -7.1 | 26 | 19 |
| Morgan | 206 | 204 | -0.9 | 8 | 10 |
| Caterham | - | 172 | n/a | - | 3 |
| Ssangyong | 208 | 198 | -4.9 | 96 | 3 |
| Chery | 204 | 214 | 4.8 | 7 | 1 |
| Proton | 204 | 193 | -5.6 | 39 | 1 |
| Dodge | 242 | - | n/a | 4 | - |
| Foton Light | 218 | - | n/a | 371 | - |
| Total | 182 | 181 | -0.4 | 1,150,374 | 1,110,388 |

* Due to rounding, average emissions intensity appear the same for 2017 and 2018. However, the percentage change considers the unrounded figure.

Table 11: Average emissions intensity and annual sales by segment, 2017 and 2018

| Segment | Average emissions intensity ( $\mathbf{g} / \mathrm{km}$ ) |  | Change from 2017 to 2018 (\%) | Sales |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2017 | 2018 |  | 2017 | 2018 |
| SUV Medium | 173 | 174 | 0.6 | 195,655 | 206,450 |
| Small | 151 | 151 | -0.1* | 220,104 | 199,123 |
| Pick-up/Chassis $4 \times 4$ | 223 | 224 | 0.5 | 165,276 | 173,263 |
| SUV Small | 155 | 157 | 0.9 | 117,573 | 139,163 |
| SUV Large | 208 | 207 | -0.6 | 136,684 | 132,662 |
| Light | 134 | 135 | 0.2 | 84,247 | 76,664 |
| Medium | 160 | 153 | -4.1 | 63,423 | 46,231 |
| Pick-up/Chassis 4×2 | 223 | 219 | -1.6 | 41,818 | 37,668 |
| Vans/Cab Chassis | 207 | 205 | -1.1 | 25,419 | 23,328 |
| Sports | 212 | 219 | 2.9 | 27,311 | 18,571 |
| SUV Upper Large | 257 | 259 | 0.8 | 15,722 | 16,933 |
| Large | 236 | 202 | -14.5 | 32,818 | 15,405 |
| People Movers | 217 | 217 | 0.1* | 13,551 | 13,357 |
| Micro | 126 | 129 | 2.6 | 7,142 | 7,819 |
| Light Buses | 256 | 258 | 0.8 | 2,262 | 2,642 |
| Upper Large | 251 | 206 | -18.0 | 1,369 | 1,109 |
| Total | 182 | 181 | -0.4 | 1,150,374 | 1,110,388 |

* Due to rounding, average emissions intensity appear the same for 2017 and 2018. However, the percentage change considers the unrounded figure.

Table 12: Top selling models within segments and comparison with best-in-class model, 2018

|  | Selling rank within segment | Make | Model | Sales | Average emissions intensity (g/km) | Difference in average emissions intensity compared with best -in-class model (\%) | Best-in-class emissions intensity (g/km)* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \frac{0}{2} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 1 | Kia | JA PICANTO | 5,394 | 133 | 40 | 95 <br> Fiat PANDA (petrol) |
|  | 2 | Mitsubishi | MIRAGE | 1,032 | 110 | 16 |  |
|  | 3 | Holden | SPARK | 619 | 136 | 43 |  |
|  | 4 | Fiat | 500 | 514 | 115 | 21 |  |
|  | 5 | Fiat | ABARTH | 256 | 139 | 46 |  |
|  | 6 | Suzuki | CELERIO | 3 | 111 | 16 |  |
|  | 7 | Fiat | PANDA | 1 | 95 | 0 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 동 } \\ & \hline \mathbf{y} \end{aligned}$ | 1 | Hyundai | ACCENT | 15,675 | 153 | 70 | 90 <br> Toyota PRIUS C (petrolelectric) |
|  | 2 | Mazda | 200 | 10,775 | 119 | 32 |  |
|  | 3 | Toyota | YARIS | 9,542 | 146 | 62 |  |
|  | 4 | Suzuki | SWIFT | 7,785 | 116 | 28 |  |
|  | 5 | Honda | JAZZ | 6,887 | 136 | 52 |  |
|  | 6 | Kia | YB RIO | 6,595 | 144 | 60 |  |
|  | 7 | Volkswagen | POLO | 5,433 | 115 | 28 |  |
|  | 8 | Holden | BARINA | 3,767 | 154 | 71 |  |
|  | 9 | MINI | COOPER | 2,287 | 127 | 41 |  |
|  | 10 | Suzuki | BALENO | 2,071 | 125 | 39 |  |
| $\frac{\frac{1}{4}}{\frac{1}{2}}$ | 1 | Toyota | COROLLA | 35,320 | 143 | 1,091 | 12 <br> BMW <br> I3 REX <br> (electric- <br> petrol) |
|  | 2 | Mazda | 300 | 31,065 | 138 | 1,051 |  |
|  | 3 | Hyundai | 130 | 28,188 | 171 | 1,321 |  |
|  | 4 | Volkswagen | GOLF | 19,076 | 137 | 1,045 |  |
|  | 5 | Kia | CERATO YD | 13,715 | 169 | 1,306 |  |
|  | 6 | Holden | ASTRA | 9,876 | 140 | 1,063 |  |
|  | 7 | Subaru | IMPREZA | 9,215 | 157 | 1,209 |  |
|  | 8 | Honda | CIVIC 5D | 8,483 | 146 | 1,119 |  |
|  | 9 | Mitsubishi | LANCER | 7,043 | 174 | 1,347 |  |
|  | 10 | Kia | BD CERATO | 4,905 | 167 | 1,294 |  |

Table 12 (continued)

|  | Selling rank within segment | Make | Model | Sales | Average emissions intensity (g/km) | Difference in average emissions intensity compared with best -in-class model (\%) | Best-in-class emissions intensity (g/km)* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | Toyota | CAMRY | 8,040 | 183 | 273 | 49 <br> BMW 330E (electricpetrol) |
|  | 2 | Toyota | CAMRY HYBRID | 6,041 | 97 | 98 |  |
|  | 3 | Mazda | 600 | 3,328 | 166 | 239 |  |
|  | 4 | Ford | MONDEO | 1,914 | 148 | 203 |  |
|  | 5 | Volkswagen | PASSAT | 1,804 | 141 | 188 |  |
|  | 6 | Skoda | OCTAVIA | 1,794 | 131 | 168 |  |
|  | 7 | Subaru | LIBERTY | 1,595 | 180 | 267 |  |
|  | 8 | Audi | A4 | 1,360 | 135 | 175 |  |
|  | 9 | MercedesBenz Cars | C200 | 1,310 | 146 | 198 |  |
|  | 10 | BMW | 3301 | 1,236 | 136 | 178 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { uI } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 1 | Holden | COMMODORE | 9,040 | 213 | 364 | 46 <br> BMW 530E (electricpetrol) |
|  | 2 | Kia | CK STINGER | 1,957 | 235 | 410 |  |
|  | 3 | Skoda | SUPERB | 837 | 156 | 240 |  |
|  | 4 | MercedesBenz Cars | E200 | 377 | 144 | 213 |  |
|  | 5 | MercedesBenz Cars | M-AMG E63 S | 243 | 212 | 361 |  |
|  | 6 | MercedesBenz Cars | E220D | 241 | 112 | 144 |  |
|  | 7 | Jaguar | XF | 222 | 148 | 222 |  |
|  | 8 | Audi | A6 | 197 | 146 | 216 |  |
|  | 9 | Maserati | GHIBLI | 194 | 207 | 351 |  |
|  | 10 | BMW | 5301 | 162 | 142 | 208 |  |

Table 12 (continued)


Table 12 (continued)

|  | Selling rank within segment | Make | Model | Sales | Average emissions intensity (g/km) | Difference in average emissions intensity compared with best-in-class model (\%) | Best-in-class emissions intensity (g/km)* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{c} \\ & \frac{1}{4} \\ & \text { क } \\ & \frac{1}{6} \end{aligned}$ | 1 | Mitsubishi | ASX | 19,034 | 176 | 71 | 103 <br> Lexus UX250H (petrolelectric) |
|  | 2 | Mazda | СХ3 | 16,293 | 147 | 42 |  |
|  | 3 | Nissan | QASHQAI | 13,950 | 159 | 55 |  |
|  | 4 | Subaru | XV | 12,937 | 159 | 54 |  |
|  | 5 | Hyundai | KONA | 12,352 | 164 | 59 |  |
|  | 6 | Honda | HR-V | 12,148 | 157 | 52 |  |
|  | 7 | Toyota | C-HR | 9,716 | 145 | 41 |  |
|  | 8 | Mitsubishi | ECLIPSE CROSS | 7,521 | 166 | 61 |  |
|  | 9 | Holden | TRAX | 5,433 | 163 | 58 |  |
|  | 10 | Suzuki | VITARA | 5,023 | 139 | 35 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & z \\ & \frac{2}{\prime} \\ & \frac{11}{2} \\ & \frac{2}{2} \end{aligned}$ | 1 | Mazda | CX5 | 26,173 | 167 | 307 | 41 <br> Mitsubishi OUTLANDER (electricpetrol) |
|  | 2 | Toyota | RAV4 | 22,165 | 177 | 333 |  |
|  | 3 | Nissan | XTRAIL | 21,192 | 185 | 351 |  |
|  | 4 | Hyundai | TUCSON | 19,261 | 182 | 344 |  |
|  | 5 | Honda | CR-V | 16,107 | 166 | 305 |  |
|  | 6 | Mitsubishi | OUTLANDER | 15,573 | 163 | 297 |  |
|  | 7 | Kia | QL SPORTAGE | 14,042 | 181 | 342 |  |
|  | 8 | Subaru | FORESTER | 12,432 | 198 | 382 |  |
|  | 9 | Volkswagen | TIGUAN | 9,146 | 170 | 316 |  |
|  | 10 | Holden | EQUINOX | 4,999 | 177 | 332 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 只 } \\ & 0 \\ & 2 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | Toyota | PRADO | 18,553 | 211 | 331 | Audi Q7 (electricdiesel) |
|  | 2 | Toyota | KLUGER | 14,743 | 216 | 341 |  |
|  | 3 | Subaru | OUTBACK | 10,378 | 174 | 254 |  |
|  | 4 | Isuzu Ute | MU-X | 9,090 | 210 | 329 |  |
|  | 5 | Mazda | CX9 | 8,094 | 201 | 310 |  |
|  | 6 | Hyundai | SANTA FE | 7,523 | 209 | 326 |  |
|  | 7 | Mitsubishi | PAJERO SPORT | 6,566 | 212 | 333 |  |
|  | 8 | Ford | EVEREST | 5,482 | 214 | 337 |  |
|  | 9 | Holden | CAPTIVA | 5,100 | 234 | 377 |  |
|  | 10 | Kia | SORENTO UM | 4,385 | 201 | 310 |  |

Table 12 (continued)

|  | Selling rank within segment | Make | Model | Sales | Average emissions intensity (g/km) | Difference in average emissions intensity compared with best-in-class model (\%) | Best-in-class emissions intensity (g/km)* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | Toyota | LANDCRUISER | 13,677 | 253 | 295 | 64 <br> Land Rover RANGE ROVER (electricpetrol) |
|  | 2 | Nissan | PATROL | 1,259 | 343 | 436 |  |
|  | 3 | MercedesBenz Cars | GLS350D 4M | 831 | 199 | 211 |  |
|  | 4 | Land Rover | RANGE ROVER | 283 | 237 | 270 |  |
|  | 5 | Lexus | LX570 | 262 | 334 | 422 |  |
|  | 6 | Lexus | LX450D | 142 | 250 | 291 |  |
|  | 7 | Bentley | BENTAYGA | 98 | 251 | 292 |  |
|  | 8 | Infiniti | QX80 | 93 | 342 | 434 |  |
|  | 9 | MercedesBenz Cars | M-AMG G63 FL | 69 | 299 | 367 |  |
|  | 10 | Mercedes- <br> Benz Cars | GLS63 AMG 4M | 64 | 288 | 350 |  |
| $\text { PICK-UP/CHASSIS } 4 \times 2$ | 1 | Toyota | HILUX 4X2 | 13,125 | 238 | 44 | 166 <br> Nissan <br> NAVARA <br> (diesel) |
|  | 2 | Isuzu Ute | D-MAX | 5,324 | 198 | 19 |  |
|  | 3 | Ford | RANGER | 5,261 | 202 | 22 |  |
|  | 4 | Nissan | NAVARA | 3,458 | 174 | 5 |  |
|  | 5 | Mazda | B32 | 2,999 | 243 | 46 |  |
|  | 6 | Mitsubishi | TRITON | 2,920 | 208 | 25 |  |
|  | 7 | Holden | COLORADO | 2,172 | 240 | 45 |  |
|  | 8 | Mazda | B22 | 1,445 | 216 | 30 |  |
|  | 9 | Great Wall | STEED | 350 | 224 | 35 |  |
|  | 10 | Holden | HOLDEN UTILITY | 342 | 316 | 90 |  |
|  | 1 | Toyota | HILUX 4X4 | 38,580 | 220 | 49 | 147 <br> Nissan <br> NAVARA <br> (diesel) |
|  | 2 | Ford | RANGER | 36,883 | 227 | 54 |  |
|  | 3 | Mitsubishi | TRITON | 21,976 | 198 | 35 |  |
|  | 4 | Holden | COLORADO | 16,129 | 243 | 66 |  |
|  | 5 | Isuzu Ute | D-MAX | 13,226 | 207 | 41 |  |
|  | 6 | Nissan | NAVARA | 12,998 | 182 | 24 |  |
|  | 7 | Toyota | LANDCRUISER | 10,037 | 281 | 91 |  |
|  | 8 | Volkswagen | AMAROK | 9,059 | 229 | 56 |  |
|  | 9 | Mazda | B32 | 8,723 | 262 | 78 |  |
|  | 10 | LDV | T60 | 3,194 | 249 | 69 |  |

Table 12 (continued)

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 上 } \\ & \text { 而 } \\ & \text { © } \\ & \text { im } \end{aligned}$ | Selling rank within segment | Make | Model | Sales | Average emissions intensity (g/km) | Difference in average emissions intensity compared with best-in-class model (\%) | Best-in-class emissions intensity (g/km)* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | Toyota | HIACE | 6,852 | 239 | 121 | 108 <br> Citroen BERLINGO (diesel) |
|  | 2 | Hyundai | ILOAD | 4,362 | 228 | 111 |  |
|  | 3 | Volkswagen | TRANSPORTER | 2,095 | 201 | 87 |  |
|  | 4 | Volkswagen | CADDY VAN | 1,974 | 139 | 29 |  |
|  | 5 | Renault | TRAFIC | 1,922 | 164 | 52 |  |
|  | 6 | Ford | TRANSIT CUSTOM | 1,880 | 182 | 68 |  |
|  | 7 | LDV | G10 | 1,337 | 231 | 114 |  |
|  | 8 | MercedesBenz Vans | VITO | 1,320 | 168 | 56 |  |
|  | 9 | Renault | KANGOO | 800 | 139 | 29 |  |
|  | 10 | LDV | V80 | 444 | 240 | 123 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { in } \\ & \text { 0 } \\ & \text { em } \end{aligned}$ | 1 | Toyota | HIACE | 2,641 | 258 | 13 | 228 <br> Toyota HIACE (diesel) |
| $\stackrel{\text { 등 }}{\text { ¢ }}$ | 2 | LDV | V80 | 1 | 233 | 2 |  |

* Best-in-class is the lowest emissions model variant and excludes fully electric vehicles with emissions of $0 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{km}$.

Table 13: Average emissions intensity for models with a sales volume greater than 1,000 vehicles, 2018

| Rank | Make | Model | Average emissions intensity (g/km) | Sales |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Toyota | HILUX 4X4 | 220 | 38,580 |
| 2 | Ford | RANGER | 227 | 36,883 |
| 3 | Toyota | COROLLA | 143 | 35,320 |
| 4 | Mazda | 300 | 138 | 31,065 |
| 5 | Hyundai | 130 | 171 | 28,188 |
| 6 | Mazda | CX5 | 167 | 26,173 |
| 7 | Toyota | RAV4 | 177 | 22,165 |
| 8 | Mitsubishi | TRITON | 198 | 21,976 |
| 9 | Nissan | XTRAIL | 185 | 21,192 |
| 10 | Hyundai | TUCSON | 182 | 19,261 |
| 11 | Volkswagen | GOLF | 137 | 19,076 |
| 12 | Mitsubishi | ASX | 176 | 19,034 |
| 13 | Toyota | PRADO | 211 | 18,553 |
| 14 | Mazda | CX3 | 147 | 16,293 |
| 15 | Holden | COLORADO | 243 | 16,129 |
| 16 | Honda | CR-V | 166 | 16,107 |
| 17 | Hyundai | ACCENT | 153 | 15,675 |
| 18 | Mitsubishi | OUTLANDER | 163 | 15,573 |
| 19 | Toyota | KLUGER | 216 | 14,743 |
| 20 | Kia | QL SPORTAGE | 181 | 14,042 |
| 21 | Nissan | QASHQAI | 159 | 13,950 |
| 22 | Kia | CERATO YD | 169 | 13,715 |
| 23 | Toyota | LANDCRUISER | 253 | 13,677 |
| 24 | Isuzu Ute | D-MAX | 207 | 13,226 |
| 25 | Toyota | HILUX 4X2 | 238 | 13,125 |
| 26 | Nissan | NAVARA | 182 | 12,998 |
| 27 | Subaru | XV | 159 | 12,937 |
| 28 | Subaru | FORESTER | 198 | 12,432 |
| 29 | Hyundai | KONA | 164 | 12,352 |
| 30 | Honda | HR-V | 157 | 12,148 |
| 31 | Mazda | 200 | 119 | 10,775 |
| 32 | Subaru | OUTBACK | 174 | 10,378 |
| 33 | Toyota | LANDCRUISER | 281 | 10,037 |
| 34 | Holden | ASTRA | 140 | 9,876 |
| 35 | Toyota | C-HR | 145 | 9,716 |

Table 13 (continued)

| Rank | Make | Model | Average emissions intensity (g/km) | Sales |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 36 | Toyota | YARIS | 146 | 9,542 |
| 37 | Subaru | IMPREZA | 157 | 9,215 |
| 38 | Volkswagen | TIGUAN | 170 | 9,146 |
| 39 | Isuzu Ute | MU-X | 210 | 9,090 |
| 40 | Volkswagen | AMAROK | 229 | 9,059 |
| 41 | Holden | COMMODORE | 213 | 9,040 |
| 42 | Mazda | B32 | 262 | 8,723 |
| 43 | Honda | CIVIC 5D | 146 | 8,483 |
| 44 | Mazda | CX9 | 201 | 8,094 |
| 45 | Toyota | CAMRY | 183 | 8,040 |
| 46 | Suzuki | SWIFT | 116 | 7,785 |
| 47 | Hyundai | SANTA FE | 209 | 7,523 |
| 48 | Mitsubishi | ECLIPSE CROSS | 166 | 7,521 |
| 49 | Mitsubishi | LANCER | 174 | 7,043 |
| 50 | Honda | JAZZ | 136 | 6,887 |
| 51 | Toyota | HIACE | 239 | 6,852 |
| 52 | Kia | CARNIVAL YP | 233 | 6,610 |
| 53 | Kia | YB RIO | 144 | 6,595 |
| 54 | Mitsubishi | PAJERO SPORT | 212 | 6,566 |
| 55 | Ford | MUSTANG | 284 | 6,412 |
| 56 | Toyota | CAMRY HYBRID | 97 | 6,041 |
| 57 | Ford | EVEREST | 214 | 5,482 |
| 58 | Holden | TRAX | 163 | 5,433 |
| 59 | Volkswagen | POLO | 115 | 5,433 |
| 60 | Kia | JA PICANTO | 133 | 5,394 |
| 61 | Isuzu Ute | D-MAX | 198 | 5,324 |
| 62 | Ford | RANGER | 202 | 5,261 |
| 63 | Holden | CAPTIVA | 234 | 5,100 |
| 64 | Suzuki | VITARA | 139 | 5,023 |
| 65 | Holden | EQUINOX | 177 | 4,999 |
| 66 | Kia | BD CERATO | 167 | 4,905 |
| 67 | Ford | ESCAPE | 171 | 4,764 |
| 68 | Honda | CIVIC 4D | 144 | 4,512 |
| 69 | Kia | SORENTO UM | 201 | 4,385 |
| 70 | Hyundai | ILOAD | 228 | 4,362 |

Table 13 (continued)

| Rank | Make | Model | Average emissions intensity (g/km) | Sales |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 71 | Jeep | GRAND CHEROKEE | 231 | 3,939 |
| 72 | Ford | FOCUS | 157 | 3,875 |
| 78 | Hyundai | ELANTRA | 167 | 3,843 |
| 74 | Nissan | PATHFINDER | 234 | 3,825 |
| 75 | Holden | BARINA | 154 | 3,767 |
| 76 | Toyota | FORTUNER | 228 | 3,592 |
| 77 | Nissan | NAVARA | 174 | 3,458 |
| 78 | Mazda | 600 | 166 | 3,328 |
| 79 | Audi | Q5 | 152 | 3,299 |
| 80 | Mitsubishi | PAJERO | 240 | 3,279 |
| 81 | LDV | T60 | 249 | 3,194 |
| 82 | Mazda | B32 | 243 | 2,999 |
| 83 | Renault | KOLEOS | 186 | 2,992 |
| 84 | Mitsubishi | TRITON | 208 | 2,920 |
| 85 | Land Rover | DISCOVERY SPORT | 148 | 2,890 |
| 86 | Volvo Car | XC60 | 155 | 2,827 |
| 87 | Lexus | NX300 | 180 | 2,712 |
| 88 | Toyota | hiace | 258 | 2,641 |
| 89 | Holden | TRAILBLAZER | 252 | 2,606 |
| 90 | Volkswagen | TIGUAN ALLSPACE | 176 | 2,454 |
| 91 | Audi | Q3 | 142 | 2,453 |
| 92 | MINI | COOPER | 127 | 2,287 |
| 93 | Audi | A3 | 121 | 2,260 |
| 94 | Land Rover | RR SPORT | 195 | 2,258 |
| 95 | Holden | COLORADO | 240 | 2,172 |
| 96 | Subaru | WRX | 218 | 2,139 |
| 97 | Volkswagen | TRANSPORTER | 201 | 2,095 |
| 98 | Suzuki | BALENO | 125 | 2,071 |
| 99 | Mercedes-Benz Cars | A200 | 134 | 2,046 |
| 100 | Mercedes-Benz Cars | GLA180 FL | 133 | 1,990 |
| 101 | Volkswagen | CADDY VAN | 139 | 1,974 |
| 102 | Kia | CK STINGER | 235 | 1,957 |

Table 13 (continued)

| Rank | Make | Model | Average emissions intensity (g/km) | Sales |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 103 | Renault | TRAFIC | 164 | 1,922 |
| 104 | Ford | MONDEO | 148 | 1,914 |
| 105 | Honda | ODYSSEY | 182 | 1,895 |
| 106 | Ford | TRANSIT CUSTOM | 182 | 1,880 |
| 107 | Porsche | 95B | 191 | 1,874 |
| 108 | Audi | Q2 | 136 | 1,865 |
| 109 | Land Rover | DISCOVERY | 185 | 1,833 |
| 110 | Volkswagen | PASSAT | 141 | 1,804 |
| 111 | Skoda | OCTAVIA | 131 | 1,794 |
| 112 | BMW | X5 XDRIVE30D | 166 | 1,722 |
| 113 | MG | MG ZS | 160 | 1,692 |
| 114 | Mercedes-Benz Cars | GLC250 | 168 | 1,676 |
| 115 | Mercedes-Benz Cars | GLC250D | 149 | 1,599 |
| 116 | Subaru | LIBERTY | 180 | 1,595 |
| 117 | Volvo Car | XC40 | 169 | 1,588 |
| 118 | Mazda | CX8 | 155 | 1,550 |
| 119 | BMW | X3 XDRIVE20D | 146 | 1,522 |
| 120 | Mercedes-Benz Vans | X-CLASS | 206 | 1,520 |
| 121 | Land Rover | RR VELAR | 165 | 1,450 |
| 122 | Mazda | B22 | 216 | 1,445 |
| 123 | Suzuki | IGNIS | 113 | 1,435 |
| 124 | Land Rover | RR EVOQUE | 138 | 1,375 |
| 125 | Peugeot | 3008 | 149 | 1,372 |
| 126 | Audi | A4 | 135 | 1,360 |
| 127 | Audi | Q7 | 161 | 1,349 |
| 128 | Skoda | KODIAQ | 170 | 1,346 |
| 129 | LDV | G10 | 231 | 1,337 |
| 130 | BMW | X1 XDRIVE25I | 146 | 1,334 |
| 131 | Jeep | COMPASS | 193 | 1,329 |
| 132 | BMW | X3 XDRIVE3OI | 174 | 1,325 |
| 133 | Mercedes-Benz Vans | VITO | 168 | 1,320 |

Table 13 (continued)

| Rank | Make | Model | Average emissions intensity (g/km) | Sales |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 134 | Mercedes-Benz Cars | C200 | 146 | 1,310 |
| 135 | Nissan | PATROL | 343 | 1,259 |
| 136 | BMW | 3301 | 136 | 1,236 |
| 137 | Audi | A1 | 113 | 1,228 |
| 138 | Toyota | CAMRY V6 | 200 | 1,188 |
| 139 | Volvo Car | XC90 | 163 | 1,170 |
| 140 | Audi | S3 | 152 | 1,161 |
| 141 | Ford | ECOSPORT | 154 | 1,158 |
| 142 | Volkswagen | MULTIVAN | 201 | 1,095 |
| 143 | Jeep | WRANGLER | 268 | 1,092 |
| 144 | Porsche | CAY | 201 | 1,084 |
| 145 | Mercedes-Benz Cars | C300 | 148 | 1,033 |
| 146 | Mitsubishi | MIRAGE | 110 | 1,032 |
| 147 | Hyundai | SONATA | 195 | 1,024 |
| 148 | MINI | COOPER | 146 | 1,024 |
| 149 | Mercedes-Benz Cars | A180 | 135 | 1,015 |
| 150 | Mercedes-Benz Cars | GLA250 4M FL | 162 | 1,007 |
| Total ${ }^{\text {* }}$ |  |  |  | 1,020,294 |

* The total shown in this row is for this table only and differs to the national total shown in other tables.

Table 14: Average emissions intensity and annual sales by buyer type, 2017 and 2018

| Buyer type | Average emissions intensity ( $\mathbf{g} / \mathbf{k m}$ ) |  | Change from 2017 to 2018 (\%) | Sales |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2017 | 2018 |  | 2017 | 2018 |
| Business | 186 | 186 | 0.1* | 554,733 | 557,188 |
| Private | 176 | 174 | -1.2 | 557,018 | 515,163 |
| Government | 199 | 195 | -2.1 | 38,623 | 38,037 |
| Total | 182 | 181 | -0.4 | 1,150,374 | 1,110,388 |

[^2]Table 15: Average emissions intensity and annual sales by detailed buyer type, 2017 and 2018

| Buyer type | Average emissions intensity ( $\mathbf{g} / \mathrm{km}$ ) |  | Change from 2017 to 2018 (\%). | Sales |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2017 | 2018 |  | 2017 | 2018 |
| Private - local delivery | 176 | 174 | -1.2 | 556,893 | 514,991 |
| Dealer demonstrator | 172 | 173 | 0.5 | 180,826 | 183,960 |
| Fleet | 201 | 201 | -0.3** | 171,170 | 165,562 |
| Rental | 180 | 180 | -0.5* | 71,264 | 77,126 |
| Large fleet | 198 | 198 | O* | 69,634 | 73,358 |
| Company capitalisation | 172 | 177 | 2.8 | 42,198 | 37,157 |
| State Government | 200 | 195 | -2.5 | 24,940 | 25,059 |
| Not-for-profit organisation | 181 | 180 | -0.4 | 18,253 | 18,791 |
| Local Government | 195 | 194 | -0.5 | 9,307 | 9,365 |
| Federal Government | 200 | 194 | -3.3 | 4,376 | 3,613 |
| Taxi | 144 | 132 | -8.0 | 793 | 1,010 |
| Private - overseas delivery | 181 | 176 | -2.7 | 125 | 172 |
| Business - overseas delivery | 165 | 173 | 4.5 | 506 | 128 |
| Diplomatic | 180 | 181 | 0.2 | 89 | 96 |
| Total | 182 | 181 | -0.4 | 1,150,374 | 1,110,388 |

* Due to rounding, average emissions intensity appear the same for 2017 and 2018.

However, the percentage change considers the unrounded figure.

Table 16: Average emissions intensity and annual sales by fuel type, 2017 and 2018

| Fuel type | Average emissions intensity ( $\mathbf{g} / \mathbf{k m}$ ) |  | Change from 2017 to 2018 (\%) | Sales |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2017 | 2018 |  | 2017 | 2018 |
| Petrol | 170 | 167 | -1.5 | 773,677 | 740,980 |
| Diesel | 206 | 208 | 1.1 | 375,587 | 368,245 |
| Total* | 182 | 181 | -0.4 | 1,149,264 | 1,109,225 |

* Totals in this row do not match the national totals shown in other tables because they do not include electric vehicles, nor LPG vehicles (of which there were none sold in 2018)

Table 17: Electric vehicle sales by model for FCAI data, 2017 and 2018

| Make and Model | 2017 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Audi A3 | 15 | 1 |
| Audi Q7 | 0 | 14 |
| BMW 330E | 89 | 34 |
| BMW 530E | 21 | 27 |
| BMW 740E | 2 | 4 |
| BMW 13 | 43 | 16 |
| BMW I3 REX | 75 | 26 |
| BMW I3S | 0 | 36 |
| BMW I3S REX | 0 | 37 |
| BMW 18 | 24 | 12 |
| BMW I8 ROADSTER | 0 | 2 |
| BMW X5 XDRIVE40E | 29 | 14 |
| Hyundai IONIQ | 0 | 54 |
| Jaguar I-PACE | 0 | 39 |
| Land Rover RANGE ROVER | 0 | 3 |
| Land Rover RR SPORT | 0 | 1 |
| Mercedes-Benz Cars C350 E | 207 | 297 |
| Mercedes-Benz Cars C350T E | 5 | 9 |
| Mercedes-Benz Cars E350E | 12 | 10 |
| Mercedes-Benz Cars GLE500E | 45 | 39 |
| Mercedes-Benz Cars S500L E | 1 | 0 |
| MINI COOPER | 2 | 0 |
| Mitsubishi OUTLANDER | 369 | 370 |
| Porsche 97A | 14 | 33 |
| Porsche CAY | 71 | 53 |
| Renault fluence | 2 | 0 |
| Renault KANGOO | 1 | 16 |
| Renault ZOE | 2 | 48 |
| Volvo Car XC60 | 7 | 103 |
| Volvo Car XC90 | 88 | 54 |
| Total | 1,124 | 1,352 |

Table 18: Electric vehicle sales by state for FCAI data, 2017 and 2018

| State | 2017 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Australian Capital Territory | 40 | 37 |
| New South Wales | 395 | 461 |
| Northern Territory | 1 | 1 |
| Queensland | 147 | 210 |
| South Australia | 152 | 147 |
| Tasmania | 5 | 14 |
| Victoria | 307 | 401 |
| Western Australia | 77 | 81 |
| Total | 1,124 | 1,352 |

Table 19: Electric vehicle sales by buyer type for FCAI data, 2017 and 2018

| State | 2017 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Company capitalisation | 299 | 304 |
| Dealer demonstrator | 279 | 381 |
| Diplomatic | 0 | 1 |
| Federal Government | 1 | 1 |
| Fleet | 53 | 111 |
| Large fleet | 56 | 36 |
| Local Government | 5 | 16 |
| Not-for-profit organisation | 2 | 3 |
| Private - local delivery | 377 | 442 |
| Rental | 21 | 23 |
| State Government | 31 | 34 |
| Total | 1,124 | 1,352 |

Table 20: 'Green’ vehicle average emissions intensity and sales by segment, 2018

| SECMENT. | Make | Model | Average emissions intensity (g/km) | Sales |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MICRO | Fiat | PANDA | 95 | 1 |
|  | Mitsubishi | MIRAGE | 110 | 1,032 |
|  | Suzuki | CELERIO | 111 | 3 |
|  | Fiat | 500 | 115 | 514 |
|  | Kia | JA PICANTO | 117 | 326 |
| LIGHT | Renault | ZOE | 0 | 48 |
|  | Toyota | PRIUS C | 90 | 518 |
|  | Peugeot | 208 | 104 | 152 |
|  | Skoda | FABIA | 108 | 883 |
|  | Citroen | C3 | 110 | 122 |
|  | Renault | CLIO | 110 | 29 |
|  | Suzuki | SWIFT | 111 | 6,577 |
|  | Volkswagen | POLO | 112 | 4,853 |
|  | MINI | COOPER | 113 | 536 |
|  | Audi | A1 | 113 | 1,225 |
|  | Ford | FIESTA | 113 | 154 |
|  | Mazda | 200 | 114 | 6,634 |
|  | Suzuki | BALENO | 118 | 194 |
| SMALL | BMW | 135 | 0 | 36 |
|  | BMW | 13 | 0 | 16 |
|  | BMW | 13 REX | 12 | 26 |
|  | BMW | I3S REX | 14 | 37 |
|  | Hyundai | IONIQ | 61 | 192 |
|  | Toyota | PRIUS | 80 | 235 |
|  | Lexus | CT200H | 95 | 607 |
|  | Toyota | COROLLA | 97 | 4,421 |
|  | BMW | 118D | 99 | 86 |
|  | Toyota | PRIUS V | 101 | 375 |
|  | Mercedes-Benz Cars | A200D | 105 | 113 |
|  | BMW | 218D AT | 111 | 35 |
|  | BMW | 1181 | 112 | 630 |
|  | Volvo Car | V40 | 113 | 194 |
|  | Citroen | DS4 | 113 | 1 |
|  | Mercedes-Benz Cars | B200 CDI | 114 | 41 |
|  | Peugeot | 308 | 115 | 376 |

Table 20 (continued)

| SECMENT | Make | Model | Average emissions intensity (g/km) | Sales |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SMALL (cont.) | Audi | A3 | 116 | 1,706 |
|  | Skoda | RAPID | 117 | 461 |
|  | Hyundai | 130 | 119 | 133 |
|  | Volvo Car | V40 CC | 119 | 43 |
|  | Alfa Romeo | GIULIETTA | 119 | 120 |
| MEDIUM | BMW | 330E | 49 | 34 |
|  | Mercedes-Benz Cars | C350 E | 56 | 297 |
|  | Mercedes-Benz Cars | C350T E | 59 | 9 |
|  | Toyota | CAMRY HYBRID | 96 | 5,852 |
|  | Lexus | ES3OOH | 104 | 68 |
|  | Alfa Romeo | GIULIA | 109 | 26 |
|  | Jaguar | XE | 111 | 134 |
|  | Mercedes-Benz Cars | CLA220D | 111 | 130 |
|  | Lexus | IS300H | 113 | 193 |
|  | BMW | 420D GRAN COUPE | 114 | 4 |
|  | Mercedes-Benz Cars | CLA220D SB | 115 | 14 |
|  | Mercedes-Benz Cars | C250 BT | 116 | 1 |
|  | BMW | 320 D | 116 | 184 |
|  | Volvo Car | S60 | 117 | 2 |
|  | BMW | 320D G <br> TURISMO | 118 | 20 |
|  | Audi | A4 | 119 | 300 |
|  | Mercedes-Benz Cars | C200 BT | 119 | 7 |
|  | Volvo Car | V60 | 120 | 9 |
| LARGE | BMW | 530E | 46 | 27 |
|  | Mercedes-Benz Cars | E350E | 55 | 10 |
|  | Audi | A6 | 110 | 8 |
|  | Mercedes-Benz Cars | E220D | 112 | 241 |
|  | Jaguar | XF | 114 | 83 |
|  | BMW | 520D TOUR | 119 | 20 |

Table 20 (continued)

| SEGMENT | Make | Model | Average emissions intensity (g/km) | Sales |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UPPER LARGE | BMW | 740E | 50 | 4 |
|  | Porsche | 97A | 58 | 33 |
| SPORTS | BMW | 18 ROADSTER | 48 | 2 |
|  | BMW | 18 | 49 | 12 |
|  | BMW | 420D COUPE | 114 | 5 |
|  | Mercedes-Benz Cars | C250D CPE | 115 | 38 |
|  | MINI | COOPER | 119 | 2 |
|  | Audi | A3 | 120 | 189 |
| PEOPLE MOVERS | Citroen | C4 GRD PICASSO | 120 | 9 |
| SUV <br> SMALL | Lexus | UX250H | 104 | 35 |
|  | Citroen | C4 CACTUS | 108 | 85 |
|  | Peugeot | 2008 | 110 | 365 |
|  | Suzuki | IGNIS | 113 | 1,435 |
|  | BMW | X1 SDRIVE18D | 114 | 841 |
|  | Mercedes-Benz Cars | GLA220 D FL | 118 | 495 |
|  | Renault | CAPTUR | 120 | 66 |
|  | Infiniti | Q30 | 120 | 7 |
| SUV MEDIUM | Mitsubishi | OUTLANDER | 41 | 370 |
|  | Volvo Car | XC60 | 49 | 103 |
| SUV <br> LARGE | Jaguar | I-PACE | 0 | 39 |
|  | Audi | Q7 | 49 | 14 |
|  | Volvo Car | XC90 | 49 | 54 |
|  | Land Rover | RR SPORT | 64 | 1 |
|  | BMW | X5 XDRIVE40E | 77 | 14 |
|  | Mercedes-Benz Cars | GLE500E | 78 | 39 |
|  | Porsche | CAY | 78 | 53 |
| SUV UPPER LARGE | Land Rover | RANGE ROVER | 64 | 3 |
| VANS/ CAB CHASSIS | Renault | KANGOO | 0 | 16 |
|  | Citroen | BERLINGO | 108 | 99 |
| TOTAL* |  |  |  | 45,786 |

[^3]Table 21: Corporate average emissions intensity for new passenger vehicles for Australia, 2017

| Make | Average emissions intensity (g/km) | Sales |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alfa Romeo | 153 | 1,057 |
| Aston Martin | 287 | 144 |
| Audi | 145 | 22,011 |
| Bentley | 272 | 219 |
| BMW | 148 | 23,576 |
| Chery | 204 | 7 |
| Chrysler | 281 | 258 |
| Citroen | 120 | 469 |
| Dodge | 242 | 4 |
| Ferrari | 272 | 210 |
| Fiat | 135 | 2,008 |
| Ford | 205 | 32,819 |
| Genesis | 249 | 26 |
| Haval | 226 | 710 |
| Holden | 208 | 64,486 |
| Honda | 155 | 46,783 |
| Hyundai | 172 | 91,368 |
| Infiniti | 208 | 776 |
| Isuzu Ute | 212 | 8,087 |
| Jaguar | 161 | 2,483 |
| Jeep | 221 | 8,270 |
| Kia | 177 | 54,737 |
| Lamborghini | 310 | 122 |
| Land Rover | 167 | 13,112 |
| LDV | 271 | 761 |
| Lexus | 177 | 8,800 |
| Lotus | 198 | 62 |


| Make | Average emissions intensity (g/km) | Sales |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maserati | 211 | 740 |
| Mazda | 151 | 102,230 |
| McLaren | 257 | 116 |
| Mercedes-Benz Cars | 159 | 36,933 |
| Mercedes-Benz Vans | 166 | 973 |
| MG | 175 | 600 |
| MINI | 130 | 3,712 |
| Mitsubishi | 178 | 57,049 |
| Morgan | 206 | 8 |
| Nissan | 185 | 39,961 |
| Peugeot | 132 | 3,392 |
| Porsche | 187 | 4,484 |
| Proton | 204 | 39 |
| Renault | 158 | 5,982 |
| Rolls-Royce | 329 | 45 |
| Skoda | 137 | 5,350 |
| Ssangyong | 208 | 95 |
| Subaru | 173 | 52,511 |
| Suzuki | 132 | 18,986 |
| Toyota | 180 | 150,532 |
| Volkswagen | 143 | 43,815 |
| Volvo Car | 155 | 4,681 |
| Total* |  | 915,599 |

* The total shown in this row is for this table only and differs to the national total shown in other tables.

Table 22: Average emissions intensity for new light commercial vehicles for Australia by make, 2017

| Make | Average emissions intensity (g/km) | Sales |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Citroen | 138 | 266 |
| Fiat Professional | 145 | 107 |
| Ford | 223 | 44,393 |
| Foton Light | 218 | 371 |
| Great Wall | 228 | 404 |
| Holden | 247 | 25,820 |
| Hyundai | 235 | 5,645 |
| Isuzu Ute | 205 | 17,717 |
| LDV | 238 | 1,819 |
| Mazda | 251 | 14,119 |
| Mercedes-Benz Vans | 165 | 1,240 |
| Mitsubishi | 199 | 23,605 |
| Nissan | 182 | 16,633 |
| Renault | 160 | 2,920 |
| Ssangyong | 195 | 1 |
| Suzuki | 190 | 270 |
| Toyota | 233 | 65,724 |
| Volkswagen | 199 | 13,721 |
| Total* |  | 234,775 |

* The total shown in this row is for this table only and differs to the national total shown in other tables.

Table 23: Average emissions intensity and annual registrations for new passenger vehicles by country, 2016 and 2017

| Country | Average emissions intensity ( $\mathbf{g} / \mathrm{km}$ ) |  | Change from 2016 to 2017 (\%), | Annual registrations (thousands) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2016 | 2017 |  | 2016 | 2017 |
| Portugal | 105 | 105 | 0.0* | 207 | 222 |
| Denmark | 106 | 107 | 1.0 | 221 | 220 |
| Netherlands | 106 | 108 | 2.3 | 378 | 412 |
| Greece | 106 | 109 | 2.4 | 79 | 88 |
| France | 110 | 110 | 0.5* | 2,167 | 2,256 |
| Malta | 112 | 111 | -0.7 | 7 | 8 |
| Ireland | 112 | 112 | -0.4* | 146 | 129 |
| Croatia | 112 | 113 | 1.4 | 45 | 48 |
| Italy | 113 | 113 | 0.0* | 1,823 | 1,965 |
| Spain | 114 | 115 | 0.5 | 1,185 | 1,286 |
| Belgium | 116 | 116 | 0.0** | 541 | 548 |
| Finland | 120 | 118 | -1.5 | 115 | 114 |
| Europe | 118 | 119 | 0.4 | 14,712 | 15,107 |
| Slovenia | 119 | 120 | 0.5 | 53 | 60 |
| Romania | 122 | 121 | -1.1 | 95 | 107 |
| Austria | 120 | 121 | 0.2 | 329 | 353 |
| United Kingdom | 120 | 121 | 0.8 | 2,687 | 2,533 |
| Cyprus | 124 | 122 | -1.1 | 12 | 13 |
| Sweden | 123 | 122 | -0.6 | 364 | 369 |
| Czech Republic | 121 | 124 | 2.4 | 214 | 221 |
| Hungary | 126 | 126 | -0.2* | 95 | 107 |
| Slovakia | 125 | 126 | 1.0 | 89 | 97 |
| Bulgaria | 126 | 126 | 0.3* | 20 | 26 |
| Luxembourg | 126 | 127 | 0.7 | 49 | 52 |
| Germany | 127 | 127 | 0.2* | 3,316 | 3,377 |
| Lithuania | 126 | 127 | 1.0 | 20 | 25 |
| Poland | 126 | 128 | 1.4 | 417 | 430 |
| Latvia | 129 | 129 | -0.1* | 15 | 15 |
| Estonia | 134 | 133 | -0.8 | 23 | 26 |
| Australia | 173 | 171 | -0.7 | 927* | 916* |

Table 24: Average emissions intensity and annual registrations for new light commercial vehicles by country, 2016 and 2017

| Country | Average emissions intensity ( $\mathbf{g} / \mathbf{k m}$ ) |  | Change from 2016 to 2017 (\%) | Annual registrations (thousands) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2016 | 2017 |  | 2016 | 2017 |
| Portugal | 140 | 132 | -5.7 | 29 | 31 |
| Cyprus | 144 | 133 | -7.4 | 2 | 2 |
| Bulgaria | 141 | 135 | -4.5 | 9 | 9 |
| Malta | 147 | 136 | -7.7 | 1 | 1 |
| Italy | 145 | 142 | -2.2 | 167 | 159 |
| Croatia | 150 | 142 | -5.3 | 7 | 5 |
| Spain | 148 | 142 | -3.8 | 113 | 121 |
| Denmark | 152 | 150 | -1.5 | 34 | 34 |
| France | 159 | 151 | -5.0 | 283 | 303 |
| Greece | 155 | 152 | -1.9 | 6 | 6 |
| Netherlands | 156 | 153 | -1.9 | 63 | 63 |
| Latvia | 157 | 154 | -1.7 | 2 | 2 |
| Sweden | 155 | 156 | 0.3 | 30 | 44 |
| Estonia | 162 | 156 | -3.7 | 4 | 4 |
| Europe | 164 | 156 | -4.6 | 1,590 | 1,609 |
| Ireland | 164 | 156 | -4.5 | 26 | 23 |
| Romania | 170 | 158 | -7.1 | 10 | 12 |
| Luxembourg | 168 | 159 | -5.4 | 4 | 4 |
| Lithuania | 169 | 160 | -5.2 | 3 | 3 |
| Belgium | 169 | 161 | -5.1 | 65 | 73 |
| Slovenia | 168 | 161 | -4.0 | 7 | 9 |
| Finland | 167 | 162 | -3.3 | 12 | 14 |
| Hungary | 168 | 163 | -2.9 | 19 | 16 |
| Poland | 171 | 163 | -4.8 | 47 | 41 |
| United Kingdom | 173 | 163 | -5.5 | 350 | 328 |
| Austria | 172 | 165 | -3.9 | 34 | 38 |
| Germany | 179 | 169 | -5.3 | 245 | 243 |
| Slovakia | 186 | 170 | -8.4 | 7 | 7 |
| Czech Republic | 183 | 173 | -5.6 | 11 | 14 |
| Australia | 222 | 221 | -0.4 | 216 ${ }^{\text {\# }}$ | 235* |

[^4]
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[^0]:    Note: These parameters are indicative only; exceptions do occur based on market focus and other subjective criteria. They are largely based on the specifications listed and are reflective of the volume-selling variant where crossover occurs.

    * Note the NTC has converted the footprint units to $\mathrm{m}^{2}$. The units on the FCAI website are $\mathrm{mm}^{2} / 1000$. Source: FCAI 2019.

[^1]:    3 Petrol and diesel are the primary fuel type for the data used in the graph. However, the data includes hybrid vehicles, where there is a secondary electric engine.

[^2]:    * Due to rounding, average emissions intensity appear the same for 2017 and 2018. However, the percentage change considers the unrounded figure.

[^3]:    * The total shown in this row is for this table only and differs to the national total shown in other tables.

[^4]:    \# New car sales

    * Due to rounding, average emissions intensity appear the same for 2016 and 2017. However, the percentage change
    considers the unrounded figure.

