### Safe System Assessment (Rapid) Sydney Road Improvement Project

February 2019

Connecting our communities



### **Executive Summary**

This document should be read in conjunction with the Sydney Road Movement and Place Rapid Assessment.

A Rapid Safe System Assessment (SSA) has been conducted by the assessment team for proposed concept options along Sydney Road, between Bell Street and Park Street. The existing five concept options have been assessed with respect to the <u>VicRoads Safe System Assessment Guidelines</u> and the SSA Matrix score are shown in the table below.

| Option   | Score     |
|--|-----------|
| Existing conditions  | 188 / 448 |
| <ul> <li>No changes to Sydney Road</li> </ul>  |           |
| Option 1A  | 164 / 448 |
| <ul> <li>Installation of kerbside raised tram stop (like High<br/>Street, Swanston Street)</li> </ul>  |           |
| <ul> <li>Widened footpaths and parking removed at location<br/>of tram stop.</li> </ul>  |           |
| Option 1B  | 186 / 448 |
| <ul> <li>Installation of easy-access tram stops (like Bridge<br/>Road, Nicholson Street, etc) with vehicles driving<br/>over platform and following tram.</li> </ul> |           |
| <ul> <li>Parking removed at tram stop locations.</li> </ul>  |           |
| Option 2   | 172/448   |
| <ul> <li>Raised tram stops (Option 1B) with tram lane in peak<br/>periods</li> </ul>   |           |
| <ul> <li>No improvements for cyclists</li> </ul>   |           |
| <ul> <li>General traffic restricted to one lane</li> </ul>   |           |
| Option 3   | 104/448   |
| <ul> <li>Raised tram stops (Option 1A)</li> </ul>  |           |
| <ul> <li>Fully protected bicycle lane</li> </ul>   |           |
| <ul> <li>All parking removed</li> </ul>  |           |
| <ul> <li>Widened footpath for more trading space and<br/>placemaking</li> </ul>  |           |
| <ul> <li>General traffic restricted to one lane</li> </ul>   |           |
| Option 4   | 128/448   |
| <ul> <li>Raised tram stop (Option 1A)</li> </ul>   |           |
| <ul> <li>Majority parking retained</li> </ul>  |           |
| <ul> <li>Parking banned during peak periods to facilitate wide<br/>kerbside bicycle lane</li> </ul>  |           |
| <ul> <li>General traffic reduced to one lane</li> </ul>  |           |

It can therefore be concluded that Option 3 is best aligned with the Safe System principles. Further work is required when the detail design progresses to address crash types and vulnerable user groups. A full Safe System Assessment is required during detailed design to assess treatments best aligned with Safe System Principles.

### **Table of Contents**

| Exe | cut   | ive Summary1                                |
|-----|-------|---|
| Fig | ures  | 2   |
| Tab | oles. | 2   |
| 1.  | As    | sessment Details4                           |
| 1   | .1.   | Type of Assessment4                         |
| 1   | .2.   | Assessment Team4                            |
| 2.  | Pro   | oject Context and Description4              |
| 2   | .1.   | Options5                                    |
| 3.  | As    | sessment of Project Design Options6         |
| 3   | .1.   | Assessment Summary6                         |
| 3   | .2.   | Safe System Assessment Matrices             |
| 4.  | Tre   | eatments to Improve Safe System Alignment13 |
| 5.  | Co    | nclusions14                                 |
| 6.  | Ар    | pendices15                                  |

### **Figures**

| Figure 1: SSA Scores for Crash Types | .6   |
|--------------------------------------|------|
| Attachment A                         | .15  |
| Attachment B16 ·                     | - 18 |

### **Tables**

| 0     |
|-------|
|       |
| ge to |
|       |
| o 10  |
| op 11 |
|       |

| Table 3F: SSA Matrix – Option 4 – Kerbside Bicycle Lane (Peak Periods) w/ raised |    |
|--|----|
| accessible tram stop   | 12 |
| Table 4: Supporting Treatments   | 13 |

### 1. Assessment Details

### 1.1. Type of Assessment

The Safe System Assessment (SSA) has been conducted to evaluate how concept options for the Sydney Road corridor align with the Safe System principles. A Rapid SSA has been used in accordance with VicRoads Safe System Assessment Guidelines as these options are concept options only before proceeding with detailed design at a later stage.

### 1.2. Assessment Team

The assessment has been carried out by Mr Rizwan Reddy, Senior Engineer and Sydney Road Project Lead at VicRoads' Metropolitan North-West Region.

### 2. Project Context and Description

Sydney Road is currently a four-lane arterial road with two-lanes in each direction (trams/vehicles share the middle lane) and a narrow kerbside bicycle lane (operating in the peak direction only). An aerial view of Sydney Road is shown in **Attachment A. Table 1** provides a summary of existing conditions and the reason for the proposed study.

### **Table 1: Project Context**

| PROMPTS  | COMMENTS   |
|--|--|
| What is the reason for the <b>project</b> ? Is<br>there specific crash type risk? Is it<br>addressing specific issues such as<br>poor speed limit compliance, road<br>access, congestion, future traffic<br>growth, freight movement, amenity<br>concerns from the community,<br>maintenance/asset renewal, etc.   | <ul> <li>The aim of the project is to achieve the principles and objectives outlined in the Movement &amp; Place assessment and Co-Design workshops. This includes;</li> <li>Support improvements to tram performance</li> <li>Support improvements for road safety</li> <li>Support improvements for cycling infrastructure</li> <li>Support improvements for placemaking</li> </ul>  |
| What is the <b>function</b> of the road?<br>Consider location, roadside land use,<br>area type, speed limit, intersection<br>type, presence of parking, public<br>transport services and vehicle flows.<br>What traffic features exist nearby (e.g.<br>upstream and downstream)? What<br>alternative routes exist? | Sydney Road is an arterial road under the care and management of VicRoads and functions as a primary movement corridor for trams, cycling and walking. As per the Movement and Place framework, Sydney Road is identified as a localised general traffic route (GT3). Sydney Road is a strategically important 'place' of activity with a place function of P3 and P4 throughout the corridor.<br>The Upfield Shared Path is the primary movement corridor for cyclists through Moreland, providing the north-south route in and out of the Melbourne CBD. |
| What is the <b>speed</b> environment? What<br>is the current speed limit? Has it<br>changed recently? Is it like other roads<br>of this type? How does it compare to<br>Safe System speeds? What is the<br>acceptability of lowering the speed limit<br>at this location?  | The current speed limit on Sydney Road is 40km/h which is appropriate for the environment and use. Lower speed limits are not being considered at this time.   |
| What <b>road users</b> are present?<br>Consider the presence of elderly<br>pedestrians, school children and<br>cyclists. Also note what facilities are<br>available to vulnerable road users (e.g.<br>signalised crossings, bicycle lanes,<br>school speed limits, etc.)   | There are approximately 900 cyclists on Sydney Road per day and a significant<br>number of pedestrians accessing all the shops along the 4km strip of road. There is<br>a narrow marked kerbside bicycle lane in the peak periods, outside peak periods<br>cyclists must ride between parked vehicles and traffic. There are several signalised<br>intersections and pedestrian operated signals for pedestrian to cross the road.   |
| What is the <b>vehicle</b> composition?<br>Consider the presence of heavy<br>vehicles (and what type), motorcyclists<br>and other vehicles using the roadway.  | Sydney Road has a truck ban and only heavy vehicles or freight using Sydney Road are accessing or delivering to the local area.  |

### 2.1. Options

Five (5) concept options have been developed in conjunction with the Co-Design group. Each option presents varying benefits and implications for each stakeholder group. Visual representations of each option are shown in **Attachment B.** 

*Option 1A* – Kerbside raised tram stops with no other changes along Sydney Road.

- Installation of kerbside raised tram stop (like High Street, Swanston Street, etc).
- Widened footpaths.
- Parking removed at location of tram stops.
- No other changes to Sydney Road.
- This tram stop design works best in conjunction with Option 3 and 4.

*Option 1B* – Kerbside raised tram stops with no other changes along Sydney Road.

- Installation of easy-access tram stops (like Bridge Road, Nicholson Street, etc) with vehicles driving over platforms and following trams.
- Parking removed at tram stop locations.
- No other changes to Sydney Road.
- This tram stop design works best in conjunction with Option 2.

*Option 2* – Raised tram stops with dedicated tram lane in peak periods, no other change outside peak periods.

- Raised tram (Option 1B) with tram lane in peak periods.
- No improvements for cyclists.
- General traffic restricted to one lane.

*Option 3* – Raised tram stops with continuous protected bicycle, widened footpaths and removal of parking in sections with protected bicycle lane.

- Raised tram stop (Option 1A).
- Fully protected bicycle lanes.
- All parking removed.
- Widened footpath for more trading space and placemaking.
- General traffic restricted to one lane.

*Option 4* – Raised tram stops with partially protected kerbside bicycle lane, wider footpaths at select locations, parking banned during peak periods.

- Raised tram stop (Option 1A)
- Majority of parking retained.
- Parking banned during peak periods to facilitate wide kerbside bicycle lane
- General traffic reduced to one lane.

### 3. Assessment of Project Design Options

### 3.1. Assessment Summary

The Safe System Assessment Matrix scores for the existing conditions and the proposed design options are shown in **Table 2**. The scores for each crash type are shown in **Figure 1**. The detailed assessments are presented in **Section 3.2**.

Observations and conclusions based on the assessment are as follows:

- Option 3 best aligns with Safe System principles with significant improvements for vulnerable road users, including cyclists and pedestrians.
- All options are an improvement over the existing conditions.
- When the detail design progresses, additional isolated treatments should be considered to improve to further align with design with Safe Systems principles.

| Option              | Score     |
|---------------------|-----------|
| Existing conditions | 188 / 448 |
| Option 1A           | 164 / 448 |
| Option 1B           | 186 / 448 |
| Option 2            | 172/448   |
| Option 3            | 104/448   |
| Option 4            | 128/448   |

### Table 2: SSA Matrix Scores for the Project



Figure 1: SSA Scores for Crash Types

| Rapid      |
|------------|
| Safe       |
| System     |
| Assessment |

## 3.2. Safe System Assessment Matrices

### Table 3A: SSA Matrix – Existing Conditions

|         | Product<br>(multiply scores above 8/64 8/6-<br>for crash type) | Severity Score: 2/4 2/4 | Severity       Factors that increase<br>the likelihood include:       Factors that in<br>the likelihood include:         Comments:       Service       Overtaking<br>infrastructure (power<br>poles, light poles,<br>etc)       Overtaking         Factors that decrease<br>the likelihood include:       Pactors that decrease<br>the likelihood include:       A0km/h speed limit         Parked vehicles as a<br>buffer       Parked vehicles as a       buffer | Likelihood Score: 1/4 1/4 | Likelihood<br>Comments:<br>Service<br>Service<br>infrastructure (power<br>poles, light poles,<br>etc)<br>Factors that decrease<br>the likelihood include:<br>• No separati<br>oncoming t<br>Pactors that decrease<br>the likelihood include:<br>• Adkm/h speed limit<br>• Congestion<br>• Parked Vehicles | Exposure Score: 4/4 4/4 | Exposure 20, 000 vpd 20, 000 v                                 |  |
|---------|--|-------------------------|--|---------------------------|---|-------------------------|--|--|
|         | 48/64  | 3/4                     | rease<br>nclude:<br>the likel/hood include:<br>during<br>es<br>crease<br>nclude:<br>trease<br>of limit<br>Factors that decrease<br>the likel/hood include:<br>• 40km/h speed limit   | 4/4                       | <ul> <li>Factors that increase</li> <li>from the likelihood include:</li> <li>Uncontrolled</li> <li>Filtering turning</li> <li>Factors that decrease</li> <li>ad limit</li> <li>Factors that decrease</li> <li>Alkm/h speed limit</li> <li>Signalised</li> <li>Turn bans</li> </ul>                       | 4/4                     | od 20, 000 vpd   |  |
|         | 24/64  | 3/4                     | Factors that increase<br>the likelihood include:<br>Impact angles<br>Force of the tram<br>Factors that decrease<br>the likelihood include:<br>40km/h speed limit   | 2/4                       | Factors that increase<br>the likelihood include:<br>• Trams sharing lane<br>with cars<br>• Cars overtaking<br>trams<br>• Cars not stopping at<br>tram stops<br>Factors that decrease<br>the likelihood include:<br>• 40km/h speed limit<br>• Congestion<br>• No accessible tram                           | 4/4                     | Other will be<br>considered as crashes<br>relating to trams    |  |
|         | 36/64  | 3/4                     | Factors that increase<br>the likelihood include:<br>• Nil<br>Factors that decrease<br>the likelihood include:<br>• 40km/h speed limit  | 3/4                       | <ul> <li>Factors that increase<br/>the likelihood include:</li> <li>Uncontrolled<br/>pedestrian crossing<br/>points</li> <li>No Pedestrian<br/>refuge island</li> <li>Factors that decrease<br/>the likelihood include:</li> <li>Pedestrian operated<br/>signals</li> <li>40km/h speed limit</li> </ul>   | 4/4                     | There are greater than<br>100 pedestrians along<br>Sydney Road |  |
| TOTAL   | 48/64  | 3/4                     | Factors that increase<br>the likelihood include:<br>• Dooring risk<br>Factors that decrease<br>the likelihood include:<br>• 40km/h speed limit   | 4/4                       | <ul> <li>Factors that increase<br/>the likelihood include:</li> <li>No dedicated bicycle<br/>lane during interpeak</li> <li>Narrow bicycle lane<br/>during peak</li> <li>Parked vehicles</li> <li>Factors that decrease<br/>the likelihood include:</li> <li>40km/h speed limit</li> </ul>                | 4/4                     | 900/day  |  |
| 188/448 | 16/64  | 2/4                     | Factors that increase<br>the likelihood include:<br>• Service<br>infrastructure (power<br>poles, light poles,<br>etc)<br>• Parked cars<br>Factors that decrease<br>the likelihood include:<br>• Nil  | 2/4                       | <ul> <li>Factors that increase<br/>the likelihood include:</li> <li>Uncontrolled<br/>intersections</li> <li>Tram tracks</li> <li>Lane filtering<br/>between parked<br/>vehicles and traffic<br/>lane</li> <li>Factors that decrease<br/>the likelihood include:</li> <li>40km/h speed limit</li> </ul>    | 4/4                     | There are at least 200<br>motorcyclists on<br>Sydney Road.     |  |

Page 7

|         | Product<br>(multiply scores above<br>for crash type) | Severity Score: | Severity<br>Comments:  | Likelihood Score: | Likelihood<br>Comments:  | Exposure Score: | Exposure<br>Comments:  |               |             |
|---------|--|-----------------|--|-------------------|--|-----------------|--|---------------|-------------|
|         | 8/64   | 2/4             | Factors that increase<br>the likelihood include:<br>• Service<br>infrastructure (power<br>poles, light poles,<br>etc)<br>Factors that decrease<br>the likelihood include:<br>• 40km/h speed limit<br>• Offset to roadside<br>hazards<br>• Parked vehicles as a<br>buffer | 1/4               | <ul> <li>Factors that increase<br/>the likelihood include:</li> <li>Service<br/>Infrastructure (power<br/>poles, light poles,<br/>etc)</li> <li>Factors that decrease<br/>the likelihood include:</li> <li>40km/h speed limit</li> <li>Congestion</li> <li>Parked Vehicles</li> </ul>  | 4/4             | 20, 000 vpd  | Run-off road  |             |
|         | 8/64   | 2/4             | Factors that increase<br>the likelihood include:<br>• Overtaking during<br>off-peak times<br>Factors that decrease<br>the likelihood include:<br>• 40km/h speed limit  | 1/4               | <ul> <li>Factors that increase<br/>the likelihood include:</li> <li>No separation from<br/>oncoming traffic</li> <li>Factors that decrease<br/>the likelihood include:</li> <li>40km/h speed limit</li> <li>Road alignment</li> <li>Congestion</li> </ul>  | 4/4             | 20, 000 vpd  | Head-on       |             |
|         | 48/64  | 3/4             | Factors that increase<br>the likelihood include:<br>• Higher speeds<br>during off-peak times<br>• Conflict angle of<br>crashes<br>Factors that decrease<br>the likelihood include:<br>• 40km/h speed limit   | 4/4               | <ul> <li>Factors that increase<br/>the likelihood include:</li> <li>Uncontrolled<br/>intersections</li> <li>Filtering turning<br/>movements</li> <li>Factors that decrease<br/>the likelihood include:</li> <li>40km/h speed limit</li> <li>Corgestion</li> <li>Signalised<br/>intersection</li> <li>Turn bans</li> </ul>  | 4/4             | 20, 000 vpd  | Intersection  |             |
|         | 12/64  | 3/4             | Factors that increase<br>the likelihood include:<br>• Impact angles<br>• Force of the tram<br>Factors that decrease<br>the likelihood include:<br>• 40km/h speed limit   | 1/4               | <ul> <li>Factors that increase<br/>the likelihood include:</li> <li>Trams sharing lane<br/>with cars</li> <li>Cars overtaking<br/>trams</li> <li>Factors that decrease<br/>the likelihood include:</li> <li>40km/h speed limit</li> <li>Congestion</li> </ul>  | 4/4             | Other will be<br>considered as crashes<br>relating to trams    | Other         |             |
|         | 24/64  | 3/4             | Factors that increase<br>the likelihood include:<br>• Nil<br>Factors that decrease<br>the likelihood include:<br>• 40km/h speed limit  | 2/4               | <ul> <li>Factors that increase<br/>the likelihood include:</li> <li>Uncontrolled<br/>pedestrian crossing<br/>points</li> <li>No Pedestrian<br/>refuge</li> <li>Reduced crossing<br/>distances at and<br/>near tram stop<br/>locations</li> <li>Factors that decrease<br/>the likelihood include:</li> <li>Pedestrian operated<br/>signals</li> <li>40km/h speed limit</li> </ul> | 4/4             | There are greater than<br>100 pedestrians along<br>Sydney Road | Pedestrian    | and include |
| TOTAL   | 48/64  | 3/4             | Factors that increase<br>the likelihood include:<br>• Dooring risk<br>Factors that decrease<br>the likelihood include:<br>• 40km/h speed limit   | 4/4               | <ul> <li>Factors that increase<br/>the likelihood include:</li> <li>No dedicated bicycle<br/>lane during interpeak</li> <li>Narrow bicycle lane<br/>during peak</li> <li>Parked vehicles</li> <li>Factors that decrease<br/>the likelihood include:</li> <li>40km/h speed limit</li> </ul>   | 4/4             | 900/day  | Cyclist       |             |
| 164/448 | 16/64  | 2/4             | Factors that increase<br>the likelihood include:<br>• Service<br>poles, light poles,<br>etc)<br>• Parked cars<br>Factors that decrease<br>the likelihood include:<br>• Nil   | 2/4               | <ul> <li>Factors that increase<br/>the likelihood include:</li> <li>Uncontrolled<br/>intersections</li> <li>Tram tracks</li> <li>Lane filtering<br/>between parked<br/>vehicles and traffic<br/>lane</li> <li>Factors that decrease<br/>the likelihood include:</li> <li>40km/h speed limit</li> </ul>   | 4/4             | There are at least 200<br>motorcyclists on<br>Sydney Road.     | Motorcyclists |             |

Table 4B: SSA Matrix – Option 1A – Kerbside Raised Tram Stop w/ no other changes to Svdnev Road

Page 8

Sydney Road Improvement Project

|         | Product<br>(multiply scores above<br>for crash type) | Severity Score: | Severity<br>Comments:   | Likelihood Score: | Likelihood<br>Comments:  | Exposure Score: | Exposure<br>Comments:  |               | I ADIE DC. DOA IVIALI |
|---------|--|-----------------|---|-------------------|--|-----------------|--|---------------|-----------------------|
|         | 12/64  | 2/4             | <ul> <li>Factors that increase<br/>the likelihood include:</li> <li>Service<br/>infrastructure (power<br/>poles, light poles,<br/>etc)</li> <li>Factors that decrease<br/>the likelihood include:</li> <li>40km/h speed limit</li> <li>Offset to roadside<br/>hazards</li> <li>Parked vehicles as a<br/>buffer</li> </ul> | 1.5/4             | <ul> <li>Factors that increase<br/>the likelihood include:</li> <li>Service<br/>Infrastructure (power<br/>poles, light poles,<br/>etc)</li> <li>Vehicles can veer off<br/>drive-over platforms</li> <li>Factors that decrease<br/>the likelihood include:</li> <li>40km/h speed limit</li> <li>Congestion</li> <li>Parked Vehicles</li> </ul>                                    | 4/4             | 20, 000 vpd  | Run-off road  |                       |
|         | 8/64   | 2/4             | Factors that increase<br>the likelihood include:<br>• Overtaking during<br>off-peak times<br>Factors that decrease<br>the likelihood include:<br>• 40km/h speed limit   | 1/4               | <ul> <li>Factors that increase<br/>the likelihood include:</li> <li>No separation from<br/>oncoming traffic</li> <li>Factors that decrease<br/>the likelihood include:</li> <li>40km/h speed limit</li> <li>Road alignment</li> <li>Congestion</li> </ul>  | 4/4             | 20, 000 vpd  | Head-on       | asy-Access Naise      |
|         | 48/64  | 3/4             | Factors that increase<br>the likelihood include:<br>• Higher speeds<br>during off-peak times<br>• Conflict angle of<br>crashes<br>Factors that decrease<br>the likelihood include:<br>• 40km/h speed limit  | 4/4               | <ul> <li>Factors that increase<br/>the likelihood include:</li> <li>Uncontrolled<br/>intersections</li> <li>Filtering turning<br/>movements</li> <li>Factors that decrease<br/>the likelihood include:</li> <li>40km/h speed limit</li> <li>Congestion<br/>intersection</li> <li>Signalised<br/>intersection</li> <li>Turn bans</li> </ul>                                       | 4/4             | 20, 000 vpd  | Intersection  |                       |
|         | 18/64  | 3/4             | Factors that increase<br>the likelihood include:<br>• Impact angles<br>• Force of the tram<br>Factors that decrease<br>the likelihood include:<br>• 40km/h speed limit  | 1.5/4             | <ul> <li>Factors that increase<br/>the likelihood include:</li> <li>Trams sharing lane<br/>with cars</li> <li>Cars overtaking<br/>trams using left lane</li> <li>Factors that decrease<br/>the likelihood include:</li> <li>40km/h speed limit</li> <li>Congestion</li> </ul>  | 4/4             | Other will be<br>considered as crashes<br>relating to trams    | Other         |                       |
|         | 36/64  | 3/4             | Factors that increase<br>the likelihood include:<br>• Nil<br>Factors that decrease<br>the likelihood include:<br>• 40km/h speed limit   | 3/4               | <ul> <li>Factors that increase<br/>the likelihood include:</li> <li>Uncontrolled<br/>pedestrian crossing<br/>points</li> <li>No Pedestrian<br/>refuge island</li> <li>Conflict between<br/>cyclist and<br/>pedestrian on<br/>platform</li> <li>Factors that decrease<br/>the likelihood include:</li> <li>Pedestrian operated<br/>signals</li> <li>40km/h speed limit</li> </ul> | 4/4             | There are greater than<br>100 pedestrians along<br>Sydney Road | Pedestrian    |                       |
| TOTAL   | 48/64  | 3/4             | Factors that increase<br>the likelihood include:<br>• Dooring risk<br>Factors that decrease<br>the likelihood include:<br>• 40km/h speed limit  | 4/4               | <ul> <li>Factors that increase<br/>the likelihood include:</li> <li>No dedicated bicycle<br/>lane during interpeak</li> <li>Narrow bicycle lane<br/>during peak</li> <li>Parked vehicles</li> <li>Conflict between<br/>cyclist and<br/>pedestrian on<br/>platform</li> <li>Factors that decrease<br/>the likelihood include:</li> <li>40km/h speed limit</li> </ul>              | 4/4             | 900/day  | Cyclist       |                       |
| 186/448 | 16/64  | 2/4             | Factors that increase<br>the likelihood include:<br>• Service<br>poles, light poles,<br>etc)<br>• Parked cars<br>Factors that decrease<br>the likelihood include:<br>• Nil  | 2/4               | Factors that increase<br>the likelihood include:<br>• Uncontrolled<br>intersections<br>• Tram tracks<br>• Lane filtering<br>between parked<br>vehicles and traffic<br>lane<br>Factors that decrease<br>the likelihood include:<br>• 40km/h speed limit   | 4/4             | There are at least 200<br>motorcyclists on<br>Sydney Road.     | Motorcyclists |                       |

Table 5C: SSA Matrix – Option 1B – Easy-Access Raised Tram Stop w/ no other change to Sydney Road

Page 9

Sydney Road Improvement Project

|         | <b>Product</b><br>(multiply scores abo<br>for crash type) | Severity Score: | Severity<br>Comments:   | Likelihood Score | Likelihood<br>Comments:   | Exposure Score |
|---------|---|-----------------|---|------------------|---|----------------|
|         | ve 12/64  | 2/4             | <ul> <li>Factors that increase<br/>the likelihood include:</li> <li>Service<br/>infrastructure (power<br/>poles, light poles,<br/>etc)</li> <li>Factors that decrease<br/>the likelihood include:</li> <li>40km/h speed limit</li> <li>Offset to roadside<br/>hazards</li> <li>Parked vehicles as a<br/>buffer</li> </ul> | <b>9:</b> 1.5/4  | <ul> <li>Factors that increase<br/>the likelihood include:</li> <li>Service<br/>Infrastructure (power<br/>poles, light poles,<br/>etc)</li> <li>Vehicles can veer off<br/>drive-over platforms</li> <li>Factors that decrease<br/>the likelihood include:</li> <li>40km/h speed limit</li> <li>Congestion</li> <li>Parked Vehicles</li> </ul> | 4/4            |
|         | 12/64   | 2/4             | Factors that increase<br>the likelihood include:<br>• Overtaking during<br>off-peak times<br>Factors that decrease<br>the likelihood include:<br>• 40km/h speed limit   | 1.5/4            | <ul> <li>Factors that increase<br/>the likelihood include:</li> <li>No separation from<br/>oncoming traffic<br/>during off-peak</li> <li>Factors that decrease<br/>the likelihood include:</li> <li>40km/h speed limit</li> <li>Road alignment</li> <li>Separation during<br/>tram lane times</li> </ul>                                      | 4/4            |
|         | 36/64   | 3/4             | Factors that increase<br>the likelihood include:<br>• Higher speeds<br>during off-peak times<br>• Conflict angle of<br>crashes<br>Factors that decrease<br>the likelihood include:<br>• 40km/h speed limit  | 3/4              | <ul> <li>Factors that increase<br/>the likelihood include:</li> <li>Uncontrolled<br/>intersections</li> <li>Filtering turning<br/>movements</li> <li>Factors that decrease<br/>the likelihood include:</li> <li>40km/h speed limit</li> <li>Congestion</li> <li>Signalised<br/>intersection</li> <li>More turn bans</li> </ul>                | 4/4            |
|         | 12/64   | 3/4             | Factors that increase<br>the likelihood include:<br>• Impact angles<br>• Force of the tram<br>Factors that decrease<br>the likelihood include:<br>• 40km/h speed limit  | 1/4              | <ul> <li>Factors that increase<br/>the likelihood include:</li> <li>Trams sharing lane<br/>with cars during off-<br/>peak</li> <li>Cars overtaking<br/>trams during off-<br/>peak</li> <li>Factors that decrease<br/>the likelihood include:</li> <li>40km/h speed limit</li> <li>Congestion</li> </ul>                                       | 4/4            |
|         | 36/64   | 3/4             | Factors that increase<br>the likelihood include:<br>• Nil<br>Factors that decrease<br>the likelihood include:<br>• 40km/h speed limit   | 3/4              | Factors that increase<br>the likelihood include:<br>• Uncontrolled<br>pedestrian crossing<br>points<br>• No Pedestrian<br>refuge island<br>• Conflict between<br>cyclist and<br>pedestrian on<br>platform<br>Factors that decrease<br>the likelihood include:<br>• Pedestrian operated<br>signals   | 4/4            |
| TOTAL   | 48/64   | 3/4             | Factors that increase<br>the likelihood include:<br>• Dooring risk<br>Factors that decrease<br>the likelihood include:<br>• 40km/h speed limit  | 4/4              | Factors that increase<br>the likelihood include:<br>• No dedicated bicycle<br>lane during interpeak<br>• Narrow bicycle lane<br>during peak<br>• Conflict between<br>cyclist and<br>pedestrian on<br>platform<br>Factors that decrease<br>the likelihood include:<br>• 40km/h speed limit   | 4/4            |
| 172/448 | 16/64   | 2/4             | Factors that increase<br>the likelihood include:<br>• Service<br>infrastructure (power<br>poles, light poles,<br>etc)<br>• Parked cars<br>Factors that decrease<br>the likelihood include:<br>• Nil   | 2/4              | <ul> <li>Factors that increase<br/>the likelihood include:</li> <li>Uncontrolled<br/>intersections</li> <li>Tram tracks</li> <li>Lane filtering<br/>between parked<br/>vehicles and traffic<br/>lane</li> <li>Factors that decrease<br/>the likelihood include:</li> <li>40km/h speed limit</li> </ul>  | 4/4            |

Table 6D: SSA Matrix – Option 2 – Part Time Tram Lane w/ raised accessible tram stop

Exposure Comments:

20, 000 vpd

20, 000 vpd

20, 000 vpd

Other will be considered as crashes relating to trams

There are greater than 100 pedestrians along Sydney Road

900/day

There are at least 200 motorcyclists on Sydney Road.

Run-off road

Head-on

Intersection

Other

| Pedestrian    |  |
|---------------|--|
| Cyclist       |  |
| Motorcyclists |  |

| 877/7UF  | ΤΟΤΔΙ   |  |   |   |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| 12/64  | 16/64   | 12/64  | 12/64   | 36/64   | 8/64   | 8/64   | Product<br>(multiply scores above<br>for crash type) |
| 2/4  | 2/4   | 3/4  | 3/4   | 3/4   | 2/4  | 2/4  | Severity Score:                                      |
| Factors that increase<br>the likelihood include:<br>• Service<br>infrastructure (power<br>poles, light poles,<br>etc)<br>Factors that decrease<br>the likelihood include:<br>• Nil | Factors that increase<br>the likelihood include:<br>• Nil.<br>Factors that decrease<br>the likelihood include:<br>• 40km/h speed limit  | Factors that increase<br>the likelihood include:<br>• Nil<br>Factors that decrease<br>the likelihood include:<br>• 40km/h speed limit  | Factors that increase<br>the likelihood include:<br>• Impact angles<br>• Force of the tram<br>Factors that decrease<br>the likelihood include:<br>• 40km/h speed limit                          | Factors that increase<br>the likelihood include:<br>• Higher speeds<br>during off-peak times<br>conflict angle of<br>crashes<br>Factors that decrease<br>the likelihood include:<br>• 40km/h speed limit  | Factors that increase<br>the likelihood include:<br>• Nil<br>Factors that decrease<br>the likelihood include:<br>• 40km/h speed limit<br>• No opportunities to<br>overtake due to kerb<br>extensions         | Factors that increase<br>the likelihood include:<br>• Service<br>infrastructure (power<br>poles, light poles,<br>etc)<br>Factors that decrease<br>the likelihood include:<br>• 40km/h speed limit<br>• Offset to roadside<br>hazards | Severity<br>Comments:                                |
| 1.5/4  | 2/4   | 1/4  | 1/4   | 3/4   | 1/4  | 1/4  | Likelihood Score:                                    |
| Factors that increase<br>the likelihood include:<br>• Uncontrolled<br>intersections<br>• Tram tracks<br>Factors that decrease<br>the likelihood include:<br>• 40km/h speed limit   | Factors that increase<br>the likelihood include:<br>• Conflict points at<br>uncontrolled<br>intersections<br>Factors that decrease<br>the likelihood include:<br>• 40km/h speed limit | <ul> <li>Factors that increase<br/>the likelihood include:</li> <li>Uncontrolled<br/>pedestrian crossing<br/>points</li> <li>Factors that decrease<br/>the likelihood include:</li> <li>Pedestrian operated<br/>signals</li> <li>40km/h speed limit</li> <li>Reduced crossing<br/>distances at and<br/>near tram stop<br/>locations</li> <li>Reducing crossing<br/>distance due to kerb<br/>extension</li> </ul> | Factors that increase<br>the likelihood include:<br>• Nil<br>Factors that decrease<br>the likelihood include:<br>• 40km/h speed limit<br>• Congestion<br>• Raised tram stops<br>for pedestrians | Factors that increase<br>the likelihood include:<br>Uncontrolled<br>intersections<br>Filtering turning<br>movements<br>Factors that decrease<br>the likelihood include:<br>40km/h speed limit<br>Congestion<br>Signalised<br>intersection<br>More Turn bans | Factors that increase<br>the likelihood include:<br>• No separation from<br>oncoming traffic<br>Factors that decrease<br>the likelihood include:<br>• 40km/h speed limit<br>• Road alignment<br>• Congestion | Factors that increase<br>the likelihood include:<br>Service<br>Infrastructure (power<br>poles, light poles,<br>etc)<br>Factors that decrease<br>the likelihood include:<br>• 40km/h speed limit<br>• Congestion                      | Likelihood<br>Comments:                              |
| 4/4  | 4/4   | 4/4  | 4/4   | 4/4   | 4/4  | 4/4  | Exposure Score:                                      |
| There are at least 200<br>motorcyclists on<br>Sydney Road.   | 900/day   | There are greater than<br>100 pedestrians along<br>Sydney Road   | Other will be<br>considered as crashes<br>relating to trams   | 20, 000 vpd   | 20, 000 vpd  | 20, 000 vpd  | Exposure<br>Comments:                                |
| Motorcyclists  | Cyclist   | Pedestrian   | Other   | Intersection  | Head-on  | Run-off road   |  |
|  |   |  | sible traffi stop   | ne w/raised acces   | лестей Бісусіе La  | x – Option 3 – Pro   |  |

# Table 7F: SSA Matrix – Ontion 3 – Protected Bicycle Lane w/raised accessible tram ston

Page 11

| 128/448  | TOTAL   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| 16/64  | 30/64   | 18/64  | 12/64   | 36/64  | 8/64   | 8/64   | Product<br>(multiply scores above<br>for crash type) |
| 2/4  | 3/4   | 3/4  | 3/4   | 3/4  | 2/4  | 2/4  | Severity Score:                                      |
| <ul> <li>Factors that increase</li> <li>the likelihood include:</li> <li>Service</li> <li>poles, light poles,<br/>etc)</li> <li>Parked cars</li> <li>Factors that decrease<br/>the likelihood include:</li> <li>Nil</li> </ul>   | Factors that increase<br>the likelihood include:<br>• Dooring risk<br>Factors that decrease<br>the likelihood include:<br>• 40km/h speed limit  | Factors that increase<br>the likelihood include:<br>• Nil<br>Factors that decrease<br>the likelihood include:<br>• 40km/h speed limit  | Factors that increase<br>the likelihood include:<br>Impact angles<br>Force of the tram<br>Factors that decrease<br>the likelihood include:<br>40km/h speed limit  | Factors that increase<br>the likelihood include:<br>• Higher speeds<br>during off-peak times<br>• Conflict angle of<br>crashes<br>Factors that decrease<br>the likelihood include:<br>• 40km/h speed limit   | Factors that increase<br>the likelihood include:<br>• Nil<br>Factors that decrease<br>the likelihood include:<br>• 40km/h speed limit<br>• No opportunities to<br>overtake due to kerb<br>extensions         | Factors that increase<br>the likelihood include:<br>• Service<br>infrastructure (power<br>poles, light poles,<br>etc)<br>Factors that decrease<br>the likelihood include:<br>• 40km/h speed limit<br>• Offset to roadside<br>hazards<br>• Parked vehicles as a<br>buffer | Severity<br>Comments:                                |
| 2/4  | 2.5/4   | 1.5/4  | 1/4   | 3/4  | 1/4  | 1/4  | Likelihood Score:                                    |
| <ul> <li>Factors that increase<br/>the likelihood include:</li> <li>Uncontrolled<br/>intersections</li> <li>Tram tracks</li> <li>Lane filtering<br/>between parked<br/>vehicles and traffic<br/>lane</li> <li>Factors that decrease<br/>the likelihood include:</li> <li>40km/h speed limit</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Factors that increase<br/>the likelihood include:</li> <li>No dedicated bicycle<br/>lane during interpeak</li> <li>Parked vehicles</li> <li>Factors that decrease<br/>the likelihood include:</li> <li>40km/h speed limit</li> <li>Wide kerbside lane<br/>during peak periods</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Factors that increase<br/>the likelihood include:<br/>pedestrian crossing<br/>points</li> <li>Factors that decrease<br/>the likelihood include:</li> <li>Pedestrian operated<br/>signals</li> <li>40km/h speed limit</li> <li>Reduced crossing<br/>distances at and<br/>near tram stop<br/>locations</li> <li>Reducing crossing<br/>distance due to kerb<br/>extension</li> </ul> | Factors that increase<br>the likelihood include:<br>• Trams sharing lane<br>with cars<br>Factors that decrease<br>the likelihood include:<br>• 40km/h speed limit<br>• Congestion<br>• Raised tram stops<br>for pedestrians | <ul> <li>Factors that increase<br/>the likelihood include:</li> <li>Uncontrolled<br/>intersections</li> <li>Filtering turning<br/>movements</li> <li>Factors that decrease<br/>the likelihood include:</li> <li>40km/h speed limit</li> <li>Congestion</li> <li>Signalised<br/>intersection</li> <li>More Turn bans</li> </ul> | Factors that increase<br>the likelihood include:<br>• No separation from<br>oncoming traffic<br>Factors that decrease<br>the likelihood include:<br>• 40km/h speed limit<br>• Road alignment<br>• Congestion | Factors that increase<br>the likelihood include:<br>Service<br>Infrastructure (power<br>poles, light poles,<br>etc)<br>Factors that decrease<br>the likelihood include:<br>• 40km/h speed limit<br>• Congestion<br>• Parked Vehicles                                     | Likelihood<br>Comments:                              |
| 4/4  | 4/4   | 4/4  | 4/4   | 4/4  | 4/4  | 4/4  | Exposure Score:                                      |
| There are at least 200<br>motorcyclists on<br>Sydney Road.   | 900/day   | There are greater than<br>100 pedestrians along<br>Sydney Road   | Other will be<br>considered as crashes<br>relating to trams   | 20, 000 vpd  | 20, 000 vpd  | 20, 000 vpd  | Exposure<br>Comments:                                |
| Motorcyclists  | Cyclist   | Pedestrian   | Other   | Intersection   | Head-on  | Run-off road   |  |
|  |   | te train stop.   | W/ Taised accessio  | ie (reak reilous) (  | Dollar Dicycle Lai   |  |  |

Table 8F: SSA Matrix – Option 4 – Kerbside Bicycle Lane (Peak Periods) w/ raised accessible tram stop.

Page 12

Rapid Safe System Assessment

Sydney Road Improvement Project

### 4. Treatments to Improve Safe System Alignment

Error! Reference source not found. lists treatments that will improve the Safe System alignment of the p roject and should be considered during the detailed design stage of the project. Primary treatments have not been considered given the variance of the options proposed above. Additional primary treatments should be considered when an option is determined for the corridor.

| Treatments for consideration   | Project response                           |
|--|--|
| Raised threshold treatments at intersecting side roads   | To be considered during<br>detailed design |
| Additional pedestrian operated signals   | To be considered during<br>detailed design |
| Painted median to reduce<br>crossing distances for<br>pedestrians  | To be considered during detailed design    |
| Right turn bans to reduce<br>conflict with cyclists and<br>pedestrians   | To be considered during detailed design    |
| Pedestrian priority at signals<br>including early start<br>pedestrian phasing (where<br>applicable) and longer<br>pedestrian walk times (where<br>appropriate) | To be considered during detailed design    |
| Electronic warning signage<br>for pedestrians at signalised<br>intersections   | To be considered during detailed design    |

### **Table 4: Supporting Treatments**

*Primary treatments* are those measures that have the potential to eliminate or come close to eliminating the risk of fatal and serious injury (FSI) crashes.

**Supporting treatments** are effective in reducing the risk of FSI crashes but not to the extent of a primary treatment (i.e. there is a residual moderate or significant FSI crash risk). Implementation of a primary treatment should be given priority over a supporting treatment that may be targeting a similar crash risk.

Implementation of a primary treatment should be given priority over a supporting treatment that may be targeting a similar crash risk.

Further detailed design work is required to finalise the most appropriate solution for the corridor where a full Safe System Assessment is required. Once this is complete, primary and secondary treatments can be considered to improve the Safe System alignment of the project. Treatments such as raised platforms, painted median, right turn bans could be considered.

### 5. Conclusions

The five design options for the upgrade of Sydney Road vary in their alignment with the Safe System principles. All five options represent different and competing interests from stakeholder groups and differ in their ability to cater for the needs of all users.

The areas of highest risk for Option 1A, 1B and 2 are not addressing cyclist safety and pedestrian safety. There is potential to further reduce risks to pedestrians by reducing crossing distances and considering the installation of more pedestrian crossing with traffic lights.

Options 3 and 4 provides significant improvements for cyclists and pedestrians.

Further detailed design work is required to finalise the most appropriate solution for the corridor where a full Safe System Assessment is required.

### 6. Appendices

Attachment A

### Peak Kerbside Bicycle Lane

Clearway Traffic Lane/Parking Lane



Shared \_\_\_\_\_\_ traffic/tram lane

### Attachment B

*Option 1A* – Kerbside raised tram stops with no other changes along Sydney Road.



*Option 1B* – Kerbside raised tram stops with no other changes along Sydney Road.





*Option 2* – Raised tram stops with dedicated tram lane in peak periods, no other change outside peak periods.

*Option 3* – Raised tram stops with continuous protected bicycle, widened footpaths and removal of parking in sections with protected bicycle lane.





*Option 4* – Raised tram stops with partially protected kerbside bicycle lane, wider footpaths at select locations, parking banned during peak periods.